arXiv:1702.05258v1 [math.RT] 17 Feb 2017

Irreducible tensor products for symmetric groups in characteristic 2

Lucia Morotti

Abstract

We consider non-trivial irreducible tensor products of modular representations of a symmetric group S_n in characteristic 2 for even n completing the proof of a classification conjecture of Gow and Kleshchev about such products.

1 Introduction

Let D_1 and D_2 be irreducible representations of S_n of dimension greater than 1. We would like to know when the tensor product $D_1 \otimes D_2$ is irreducible. Such irreducible tensor products will be referred to as non-trivial. In [13] Zisser proved that there are no non-trivial irreducible tensor products of ordinary representations of symmetric group. In [5] Gow and Kleshchev conjectured that the same holds also for modular representations, unless $p = 2$ and $n = 2m$ with m odd. In this case they also conjectured which tensor products are irreducible. In [4] Graham and James proved that the tensor products appearing in the conjecture of Gow and Kleshchev are irreducible. Further in [2] Bessenrodt and Kleshchev proved that non-trivial irreducible tensor products are only possible when $p = 2$, n is even and one of the modules is indexed by a JS-partition.

In this paper we will prove the following two theorems which will prove the conjecture from [5] for $p = 2$ and $n = 2m$ even in the cases m odd and m even respectively.

Theorem 1.1. *If $p = 2$ and $n = 2m$ with m odd then the only irreducible tensor products of 2 representations of S_n of dimension greater than 1 are those of the form*

$$D^{(m+1,m-1)} \otimes D^{(2m-2j-1,2j+1)} \cong D^{(m-j,m-j-1,j+1,j)}$$

with $0 \leq j < (m-1)/2$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p = 2$ and $n = 2m$ with m even. If D_1 and D_2 are irreducible representations of S_n of dimension greater than 1 then $D_1 \otimes D_2$ is not irreducible.*

Together with the results from [2] and [4], Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 prove the conjecture which Gow and Kleshchev made in [5].

In Sections 3 to 6 we prove preliminary lemmas on the structure of the endomorphism rings of restrictions of the modules D^λ and on the structure of certain permutation modules, some of which are of independent interest. Using these lemmas we will then prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 7.

2 Notations and basic results

In this paper we will only consider representations in characteristic 2 and we will let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. Also, as Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are easily verified for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ respectively, we will assume that n is large enough (usually $n \geq 6$ or $n \geq 8$) so that $(n-1,1)$, $(n-2,2)$, $(n-2,1)$, $(n-3,2)$ and $(n-3,1)$ are 2-regular partitions.

For a partition $\lambda \vdash n$ let S^λ be the corresponding Specht module. If λ is 2-regular we also define D^λ to be the irreducible FS_n-module indexed by λ. Further for an unordered partition $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots) \vdash n$ let $S_\alpha \cong S_{\alpha_1} \times S_{\alpha_2} \times \ldots$ be the Young subgroup corresponding to α and define $M^\alpha := 1 \uparrow_{S_\alpha}^{S_n}$ to be the permutation module induced from S_α. The modules D^λ and M^α are known to be self-dual. From their definition we have that $D^{(n)} \cong S^{(n)} \cong M^{(n)} \cong 1_{S_n}$.

Let M be a FS_n-module corresponding to a unique block B with content $(0^a, 1^b)$. We can define $e_0(M)$ and $e_1(M)$ as the restriction of $M \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ the the blocks with content $(0^{a-1}, 1^b)$ and $(0^a, 1^{b-1})$ respectively. Similarly we can define $f_0(M)$ and $f_1(M)$ as the restriction of $M \uparrow^{S_{n+1}}$ the the blocks with content $(0^{a+1}, 1^b)$ and $(0^a, 1^{b+1})$ respectively. We can then extend the definition of $e_i(M)$ and $f_i(M)$ to arbitrary FS_n-modules additively. The following result follows easily from the above definitions (or from (8.7) of [9]).

Lemma 2.1. *For M a FS_n-module we have that*

$$M \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} = e_0(M) \oplus e_1(M) \qquad \text{and} \qquad M \uparrow^{S_{n+1}} = f_0(M) \oplus f_1(M).$$

For $r \geq 1$ let $e_i^{(r)} : FS_n\text{-mod} \to FS_{n-r}\text{-mod}$ and $f_i^{(r)} : FS_n\text{-mod} \to FS_{n+r}\text{-mod}$ denote the divided power functors (see Section 11.2 of [9] for the definitions). For $r = 0$ define $e_i^{(0)}(D^\lambda)$ and $f_i^{(0)}(D^\lambda)$ to be equal to D^λ. The modules $e_i^r(D^\lambda)$ and $e_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda)$ (and similarly $f_i^r(D^\lambda)$ and $f_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda)$) are quite closely connected as we will see in the next two lemmas. The following notation will be used in the lemmas. For a partition λ and $0 \leq i \leq 1$ let $\varepsilon_i(\lambda)$ be the number of normal nodes of λ of residue i and $\varphi_i(\lambda)$ be the number of

conormal nodes of λ of residue i (see Section 11.1 of [9] for the definition of normal and conormal nodes).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be a 2-regular partition. Also let $0 \leq i \leq 1$ and $r \geq 0$. Then $e_i^r(D^\lambda) \cong (e_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda))^{\oplus r!}$. Further $e_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) \geq r$. In this case, if ν is obtained by λ by removing the r bottom i-normal nodes, then*

(i) $e_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda)$ is a self-dual indecomposable module with head and socle isomorphic to D^ν,

(ii) $[e_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda) : D^\nu] = \binom{\varepsilon_i(\lambda)}{r} = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(e_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda))$,

(iii) if D^ψ is a composition factor of $e_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda)$ then $\varepsilon_i(\psi) \leq \varepsilon_i(\lambda) - r$, with equality holding if and only if $\pi = \nu$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be a 2-regular partition. Also let $0 \leq i \leq 1$ and $r \geq 0$. Then $f_i^r(D^\lambda) \cong (f_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda))^{\oplus r!}$. Further $f_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if $\varphi_i(\lambda) \geq r$. In this case, if π is obtained by λ by adding the r top i-conormal nodes, then*

(i) $f_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda)$ is a self-dual indecomposable module with head and socle isomorphic to D^π,

(ii) $[f_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda) : D^\pi] = \binom{\varphi_i(\lambda)}{r} = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n+1}}(f_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda))$,

(iii) if D^ψ is a composition factor of $f_i^{(r)}(D^\lambda)$ then $\varphi_i(\psi) \leq \varphi_i(\lambda) - r$, with equality holding if and only if $\psi = \pi$.

For proofs see Theorems 11.2.10 and 11.2.11 of [9] (the case $r = 0$ holds trivially). In particular, for $r = 1$, we have that $e_i = e_i^{(1)}$ and $f_i = f_i^{(1)}$. In this case there are other compositions factors of $e_i(D^\lambda)$ and $f_i(D^\lambda)$ which are known (see Remark 11.2.9 of [9]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let λ be a 2-regular partition. If α is 2-regular and is obtained from λ by removing a normal node of residue i then D^α is a composition factor of $e_i(D^\lambda)$.*

Similarly if β is 2-regular and is obtained from λ by adding a conormal node of residue i then D^β is a composition factor of $f_i(D^\lambda)$.

The following properties of e_i and f_i are just a special cases of Lemma 8.2.2(ii) and Theorem 8.3.2(i) of [9].

Lemma 2.5. *If M is self dual then so are $e_i(M)$ and $f_i(M)$.*

Lemma 2.6. *The functors e_i and f_i are adjoint of each others.*

Using notations from Lemma 2.2 define $\tilde{e}_i^r(D^\lambda) := D^\nu$ if $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) \geq r$, otherwise define $\tilde{e}_i^r(D^\lambda) := 0$. Similarly, with notations from Lemma 2.3, define $\tilde{f}_i^r(D^\lambda) := D^\pi$ if $\varphi_i^r(\lambda) \geq r$, otherwise define $\tilde{f}_i(D^\lambda) := 0$. The first part of the next lemma follows from Lemma 5.2.3 of [9]. The second part follows by the definition of $\tilde{e}_i^r$ and $\tilde{f}_i^r$ and from Lemmas 2.2(iii) and 2.3(iii).

Lemma 2.7. *For $r \geq 0$ and 2-regular partitions λ, ν we have that $\tilde{e}_i^r(D^\lambda) = D^\nu$ if and only if $D^\lambda = \tilde{f}_i^r(D^\nu)$. Further in this case $\varepsilon_i(\nu) = \varepsilon_i(\lambda) - r$ and $\varphi_i(\nu) = \varphi_i(\lambda) + r$.*

In particular, if $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) \geq r$ and notation is as above, then $\varphi_i(\nu) \geq r$ and the r bottom normal i-nodes of λ are the r top conormal i-nodes of ν. Similarly, if $\varphi_i(\lambda) \geq r$, then $\varepsilon_i(\pi) \geq r$ and the r top conormal i-nodes of λ are the r bottom normal i-nodes of π.

When considering the number of normal and conormal nodes of a partition we have the following result (which for 2-regular partitions follows from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and Corollary 4.2 of [8]):

Lemma 2.8. *Any partition has 1 more conormal node than it has normal nodes.*

Proof. This follows from the definition of normal and conormal nodes as any partition has 1 more addable node than it has removable nodes. □

From Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 and as $e_0(D^\lambda)$ and $e_1(D^\lambda)$ are in distinct blocks, as are $f_0(D^\lambda)$ and $f_1(D^\lambda)$, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.9. *For a 2-regular partition $\lambda \vdash n$ we have that*

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) = \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda)$$

and

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n+1}}(D^\lambda \uparrow^{S_{n+1}}) = \varphi_0(\lambda) + \varphi_1(\lambda).$$

A 2-regular partition $\lambda \vdash n$ for which $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ is irreducible is called a JS-partition. Such partitions are easily classified, as can be seen in the next lemma, which follows from Theorem D of [10], Lemma 2.9 and from $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ being self-dual.

Lemma 2.10. *For λ a 2-regular partition the following are equivalent:*

(i) λ is a JS-partition,


(ii) the parts of λ are all congruent modulo 2

(iii) λ has only 1 normal node

As we will also consider restrictions of S_n-modules to $S_{(n-2,2)}$ we define some notation for the restriction of modules to certain blocks of $S_{(n-2,2)}$. For M an S_n-mod corresponding to the block with content $(0^a, 1^b)$ we define $\overline{e}_0^2$ and $\overline{e}_1^2$ as the restrictions of $M \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}$ to the blocks with contents $(0^{a-2}, 1^b)$ and $(0^a, 1^{b-2})$ for the S_{n-2} factor of $S_{n-2,2}$. Notice that from the definition $\overline{e}_i^2(M) \downarrow_{S_{n-2}} = e_i^2(M)$.

For arbitrary modules $M_1, \ldots, M_h$ we will write $M \sim M_1|\ldots|M_h$ if M has a filtration with factors $M_1, \ldots, M_h$ counted from the bottom. For irreducible modules $D_1, \ldots, D_h$ we will write $M = D_1|\ldots|D_h$ if M is a uniserial module with composition factors $D_1, \ldots, D_h$ counted for the bottom. For irreducible modules D_1, D_2, D_3 we will also write $M = (D_1 \oplus D_2)|D_3$ for a module M with socle $D_1 \oplus D_2$ and head D_3. Similarly we will write $M = D_1|(D_2 \oplus D_3)$ for a module with socle D_1 and head $D_2 \oplus D_3$.


For an arbitrary module M with composition factors D_i and D_j we will use the notation


$M =$ 

if M has a filtration of the form $\ldots|D_i|D_j|\ldots$ but no filtration of the form $\ldots|D_j|D_i|\ldots$. For example uniserial modules can be written as

$M = D_1|D_2|\ldots|D_h =$ 

and modules of the form $(D_1 \oplus D_2)|D_3$ or $D_1|(D_2 \oplus D_3)$ can be written as

$M = (D_1 \oplus D_2)|D_3 =$ 

or $M = D_1|(D_2 \oplus D_3) =$ 

Notice that socle and head and direct summands are easily obtained from the pictures, for example if

$$M = \begin{array}{ccc} D_4 & D_5 & D_6 \\ | & | \diagup & \\ & D_3 & \\ | & | & \\ D_1 & D_2 & \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} D_4 \\ | \\ D_1 \end{array} \oplus \begin{array}{cc} D_5 & D_6 \\ | \diagup & \\ D_3 & \\ | & \\ D_2 & \end{array}$$

and $\operatorname{soc}(M) = D_1 \oplus D_2$ and $\operatorname{hd}(M) = D_4 \oplus D_5 \oplus D_6$.

3 Preliminaries Lemmas

Before being able to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we need some lemmas. We start by showing that $f_i^{(a)}(D^\pi) \cong e_i^{(\varphi_i(\pi)-a)}(\tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(\pi)}(D^\pi))$ if $\varepsilon_i(\pi) = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M, A and B be G-modules with $M \sim A|B$. Then for any G-module N we have that*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(M, N) \leq \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, N) + \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(B, N).$$

Proof. This follows from $\operatorname{Hom}_G(\,\cdot\,, N)$ being left exact. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let A and B be finite dimensional modules with $\operatorname{soc}(B) \cong C$ simple. Then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, B) \leq [A : C]$.*

If $\operatorname{soc}(A) \cong C$ and $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, B) = [A : C]$ then A is isomorphic to a submodule of B.

Proof. Let $A \sim D_1|\ldots|D_h$ with D_i simple. Then, as $C \cong \operatorname{soc}(B)$ is simple, we have from Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, B) &\leq \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(D_1, B) + \ldots + \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(D_h, B) \\ &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(D_1, C) + \ldots + \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(D_h, C) \\ &= [A : C]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $\operatorname{soc}(A) \cong C$ and $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, B) = [A : C]$. Then $A \sim C|\overline{A}$ for a certain module $\overline{A}$. From the previous part and by assumption

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, B) = [A : C] > [\overline{A} : C] \geq \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(\overline{A}, B).$$

In particular there exists $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(A, B)$ with $C \cong \operatorname{soc}(A) \not\subseteq \ker(f)$. As the socle of A is simple we have that $\operatorname{soc}(A) \cap \ker(f) = 0$ and so $\ker(f) = 0$, from which the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.3. *If π is a 2-regular partition with $\varepsilon_i(\pi) = 0$ and we let ν with $D^\nu = \tilde{f}_i^a(D^\pi)$ for some $0 \leq a \leq \varphi_i(\pi)$, then for $0 \leq b \leq a$ we have that $e_i^{(b)}(D^\nu) \subseteq f_i^{(a-b)}(D^\pi)$.*

Similarly if ψ is a 2-regular partition with $\varphi_i(\psi) = 0$ and we let ρ with $D^\rho = \tilde{e}_i^c(D^\rho)$ for some $0 \leq c \leq \varepsilon_i(\psi)$, then for $0 \leq d \leq c$ we have that $f_i^{(d)}(D^\rho) \subseteq e_i^{(c-d)}(D^\psi)$.

Proof. We will prove only the first part of the lemma, as the second part can be proved similarly.

First notice that $\varepsilon_i(\nu) = a$ from Lemma 2.7. Also, if γ is such that $D^\gamma = \tilde{f}_i^{b-a}(D^\pi)$, then, always by Lemma 2.7,

$$\tilde{e}_i^{b-a}(\tilde{e}_i^b(D^\nu)) = \tilde{e}_i^a(D^\nu) = D^\pi = \tilde{e}_i^{b-a}(D^\gamma)$$

and then $\tilde{e}_i^b(D^\nu) = D^\gamma$. So $\operatorname{soc}(f_i^{(b-a)}(D^\pi)) \cong D^\gamma \cong \operatorname{soc}(e_i^{(b)}(D^\nu))$ from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Also we have that $[e_i^{(b)}(D^\nu) : D^\gamma] = \binom{a}{b}$.

Further, if $\pi \vdash n$, from Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.6

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n+a-b}}(e_i^{(b)}(D^\nu), f_i^{(b-a)}(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{b!(b-a)!} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n+a-b}}(e_i^b(D^\nu), f_i^{b-a}(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{b!(b-a)!} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_n}(e_i^a(D^\nu), D^\pi) \\
&\quad = \binom{a}{b}.
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let π be a 2-regular partition with $\varepsilon_i(\pi) = 0$ and let ψ with $D^\psi = \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(\pi)}(D^\pi)$. For $0 \leq a \leq \varphi_i(\pi)$ we have $f_i^{(a)}(D^\pi) \cong e_i^{(\varphi_i(\pi)-a)}(D^\psi)$.*

Proof. As $\varepsilon_i(\nu) = \varphi_i(\pi)$ and $\varphi_i(\nu) = 0$ from Lemma 2.7, we have from Lemma 3.3 that, up to isomorphism,

$$e_i^{(\varphi_i(\pi)-a)}(D^\psi) \subseteq f_i^{(a)}(D^\pi) \subseteq e_i^{(\varphi_i(\pi)-a)}(D^\psi)$$

and so the lemma holds. □

We will now prove some lemmas about the structure of certain modules of S_n and S_{n-1}.

Lemma 3.5. *If $n \geq 6$ is even then $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} \sim S^{(n-2,1,1)} | S^{(n-2,2)} | S^{(n-1,1)}$.*

Proof. As n is even we have that $D^{(n-1)}$ and $D^{(n-2,1)}$ are in distinct blocks. So $D^{(n-2,1)} \cong S^{(n-2,1)}$ from Corollary 12.2 of [6]. The lemma then follows from Corollary 17.14 of [6]. □

Lemma 3.6. *If $n \geq 4$ is even then $S^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ and $M^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)} \sim S^{(n-1,1)}|S^{(n)}$.*

Proof. The structure of $S^{(n-1,1)}$ follows from Corollary 12.2 and Theorem 24.15 of [6]. Since $M^{(n-1,1)}$ is self-dual, the lemma then follows from Example 17.17 of [6]. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $n \geq 6$ be even.*

- *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ then $S^{(n-2,2)} = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$.*
- *If $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ then $S^{(n-2,2)} = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$.*

Proof. If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ then the lemma holds from Corollary 12.2 and Theorem 24.15 of [6].

If $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ then $S^{(n-2,2)}$ has compositions factors $D^{(n)}$, $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and $D^{(n-2,2)}$ from Theorem 24.15 of [6]. Also $D^{(n-2,2)} \cong \mathrm{hd}(S^{(n-2,2)})$ from Corollary 12.2 of [6] and $D^{(n)} \not\subseteq S^{(n-2,2)}$ from Theorem 24.4 of [6]. So the lemma holds also in this case. □

Lemma 3.8. *If n is even then $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} = f_0(D^{(n-2,1)})$ is indecomposable with head and socle isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$. Also $[D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} : D^{(n-1,1)}] = 3$.*

Proof. For n even we have that the residues of $(n-2,1)$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} 0$$
$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$
$$0$$

In particular $(1, n-1), (2,2), (3,1)$ are the conormal nodes of $(n-2,1)$ and they all have residue 0. So the lemma follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $n \geq 6$ be even.*

- *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ then $[S^{(n-2,1,1)} : D^{(n)}], [S^{(n-2,1,1)} : D^{(n-1,1)}], [S^{(n-2,1,1)} : D^{(n-2,2)}] = 1$.*
- *If $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ then $[S^{(n-2,1,1)} : D^{(n-1,1)}], [S^{(n-2,1,1)} : D^{(n-2,2)}] = 1$ and $[S^{(n-2,1,1)} : D^{(n)}] = 2$.*

  Also $\mathrm{soc}(S^{(n-2,1,1)}) \cong D^{(n-1,1)}$.

Proof. From page 93 of [6] we have that the character of $S^{(n-2,1,1)}$ is equal to the sum of the characters of $S^{(n-2,2)}$ and of $S^{(n)}$. In particular, from Lemma 3.7, the composition factors of $S^{(n-2,1,1)}$ are as given in the text of the lemma.

From Lemmas 3.5 and 3.8 we have that

$$\operatorname{soc}(S^{(n-2,1,1)}) \subseteq \operatorname{soc}(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}) \cong D^{(n-1,1)},$$

and so the second part of the lemma also holds. □

Lemma 3.10. *If n is even then $(S^{(n-1,1)})^* \subseteq S^{(n-2,1,1)}$.*

Proof. For $2 \leq i < j \leq n$ let $e_{i,j}$ represent the polytabloid corresponding to the standard tableau of shape $(n-2,1,1)$ with second and third row entries i and j respectively. We have

$$S^{(n-2,1,1)} = \langle e_{i,j} : 2 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle.$$

Also

$$(n-1,n)e_{i,j} = \begin{cases} e_{i,j}, & j \leq n-2, \\ e_{i,n}, & j = n-1, \\ e_{i,n-1}, & i \leq n-2,\ j = n, \\ e_{n-1,n}, & i = n-1,\ j = n \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2,\ldots,n)e_{i,j} = \begin{cases} e_{i+1,j+1}, & j \leq n-1, \\ e_{2,i+1}, & j = n. \end{cases}$$

So the restriction of $S^{(n-2,1,1)}$ to $S_{(1,n-1)} = \langle (n-1,n), (2,\ldots,n) \rangle$ is a permutation representation. In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, S^{(n-2,1,1)}) &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(1,n-1)}, S^{(n-2,1,1)}) \\ &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{(1,n-1)}}(1_{S_{(1,n-1)}}, S^{(n-2,1,1)} \downarrow_{S_{(1,n-1)}}) \\ &\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.6 and from the self-duality of $M^{(n-1,1)}$ we have that

$$M^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)} \sim D^{(n)}|(S^{(n-1,1)})^*.$$

As $\operatorname{soc}(S^{(n-2,1,1)}) \cong D^{(n-1,1)}$ from Lemma 3.9, so that $D^{(n)}$ and $M^{(n-1,1)}$ are not contained in $S^{(n-2,1,1)}$, the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.11. *Let $n \geq 6$ be even. Then $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ is both a submodule and a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ and $S^{(n-2,2)} \subseteq e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$.*

Further $[e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) : D^{(n-1,1)}] = 2$, socle and head of $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ are isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and

- $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \subseteq M \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ *with* $M \sim S^{(n-2,1,1)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$,
- $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \cong N$ *or* $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \cong N/D^{(n)}$ *with*

$$N = D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} / S^{(n-2,1,1)} \sim S^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}.$$

Proof. For n even we have that

$$(n-2,1) = \begin{array}{l}\boxed{0\,\overline{1\cdots 0\,1}}0\\ \boxed{\underline{1}}\boxed{0}\\ \quad 0\end{array}$$

In particular $(1, n-2)$ and $(2,1)$ are the normal nodes (all of residue 1) and $(1,n-1),(2,2),(3,1)$ are the conormal nodes (all of residue 0) of $(n-2,1)$. It follows that $\varepsilon_0(n-2,1) = 0$ and $\varphi_0(n-2,1) = 3$. Also $\tilde{f}_0^2(D^{(n-2,1)}) = D^{(n-1,2)}$. So $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \subseteq f_0(D^{(n-2,1)}) = D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.8. Being $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ and $f_0(D^{(n-2,1)})$ self-dual by Lemma 2.5, we also have that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ is a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$. Also head and socle of $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ are isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$, as so are those of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ (Lemma 3.8). Further $\varepsilon_0(n-1,2) = \varepsilon_0(n-2,1) + 2 = 2$ from Lemma 2.7. So from Lemma 2.2 it follows that $[e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) : D^{(n-1,1)}] = 2$.

From Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.9 and 3.8 we have that

$$D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} \sim \overbrace{\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{\mathrm{soc}(D^{(n-1,1)}\uparrow^{S_n})} | \underbrace{\cdots}_{\text{no } D^{(n-1,1)}}}^{S^{(n-2,1,1)}} \overbrace{|D^{(n-1,1)}| \underbrace{\cdots}_{\text{no } D^{(n-1,1)}}}^{S^{(n-2,2)}} \overbrace{|D^{(n)}| \underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{\mathrm{hd}(D^{(n-1,1)}\uparrow^{S_n})}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}}.$$

From the previous part it then follows that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \subseteq M \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ with $M \sim S^{(n-2,1,1)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ and that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ is a quotient of $N = D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} / S^{(n-2,1,1)} \sim S^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}$.

Let A with $N/A \cong e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$. Further let $B \subseteq N$ with $B \cong S^{(n-2,2)}$ and $N/B \cong S^{(n-1,1)}$. As $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ and N both have exactly 2 composition factor isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$, no composition factor of A is isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$. In particular $D^{(n-1,1)} \not\subseteq A$ and so, as $\mathrm{soc}(S^{(n-2,2)}) \cong D^{(n-1,1)}$ (Lemma 3.7), it follows that $A \cap B = 0$. In particular $S^{(n-2,2)} \cong B \subseteq N/A \cong e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})$. Also A is isomorphic to a submodule of $N/B \cong S^{(n-1,1)}$ with no composition factor isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$. So $A = 0$ or $A \cong D^{(n)}$ as $S^{(n-1,1)} \cong D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ (Lemma 3.6). □

Lemma 3.12. *If* $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ *with* $n \geq 6$ *then*

$$D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

Proof. From Lemmas 3.7 and 3.11 we have that

$$D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)} = S^{(n-2,2)} \subseteq e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}).$$

From the self-duality of $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ (Lemma 2.2(i)) we then also have that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ has a quotient of the form $(S^{(n-2,2)})^* = D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}$. As, from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7,

$$S^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)},$$
$$S^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)},$$

it follows from Lemma 3.11 that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \sim S^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}$. So

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \sim \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|\underbrace{D^{(n-2,2)}}_{(S^{(n-2,2)})^*}}^{S^{(n-2,2)}}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

As $S^{(n-2,2)}$ and $(S^{(n-2,2)})^*$ are uniserial, we then have that

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

From Lemmas 3.9 and 3.11 it also follows that

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}}^{S^{(n-2,1,1)}}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

In particular as $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} \sim S^{(n-2,1,1)}|S^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}$ from Lemma 3.5 and using Lemma 3.11, we have that

$$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} \sim \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$


The lemma now follows as $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ is uniserial. □

Lemma 3.13. *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 2$ with $n \geq 4$ then $M^{(n-2,1)} = D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}$. If further $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 8$ then $M^{(n-3,2)} = D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}$.*

Proof. From Theorem 24.15 of [6] we have $D^{(n-1)} \cong S^{(n)}$, $D^{(n-2,1)} \cong S^{(n-1,1)}$ as $n-1$ is odd. For $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ Theorem 24.15 of [6] also gives $D^{(n-3,2)} \cong S^{(n-3,2)}$. The lemma now follows as $M^{(n-2,1)} \sim S^{(n-2,1)}|S^{(n-1)}$ and $M^{(n-3,2)} \sim S^{(n-3,2)}|S^{(n-2,1)}|S^{(n-1)}$ by Example 17.17 of [6] and as $M^{(n-2,1)}$ and $M^{(n-3,2)}$ are self-dual. □

Lemma 3.14. *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 8$ then*

$$M^{(n-3,1,1)} = D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus$$




Proof. As n is even we have that the content of $(n-3)$ is

$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 0\,1\cdots 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\,1$$
$$1$$

and so $\varphi_0(n-3) = 0$ and $\varphi_1(n-3) = 2$. So $M^{(n-3,1,1)} = f_1 f_1(D^{(n-3)}) \oplus f_0 f_1(D^{(n-3)})$ from Lemma 2.1. Also $f_1 f_1(D^{(n-3)}) = D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}$ from Lemma 2.3, so that we only we have to prove that

$$f_0 f_1(D^{(n-3)}) =$$



From


$$M^{(n-3,1,1)} = 1 \uparrow^{S_{n-3,2}}_{S_{(n-3,1,1)}} \uparrow^{S_{n-1}} \sim (1_{S_{n-3,2}} | 1_{S_{n-3,2}}) \uparrow^{S_{n-1}} \sim M^{(n-3,2)} | M^{(n-3,2)}$$

and Lemma 3.13 it follows that

$$f_0 f_1(D^{(n-3)}) \sim (D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}) | (D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}).$$

So

$$f_0 f_1(D^{(n-3)}) =$$



As

$$(n-2) = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 0\,1\cdots 1 \\ \hline \end{array}\,0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (n-3,1) = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 0\,1\cdots 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\,1$$
$$\begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}\,0$$
$$0$$

we have that $(n-1,1)$ is the only conormal node of $(n-2)$ of residue 0 and $(2,2)$ and $(3,1)$ are the conormal nodes of $(n-3,1)$ of residue 0. So, from

Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)}) &\sim f_0(D^{(n-2)}|\dots|D^{(n-3,1)}|\dots|D^{(n-2)}) \\ &\sim \underbrace{D^{(n-1)}}_{f_0(D^{(n-2)})}|\dots|\underbrace{D^{(n-3,2)}|\dots|D^{(n-3,2)}}_{f_0(D^{(n-3,1)})}|\dots|\underbrace{D^{(n-1)}}_{f_0(D^{(n-2)})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows (using again Lemma 2.3) that $f_0(D^{(n-3,1)}) = D^{(n-3,2)}|D^{(n-3,2)}$ and

$$f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)}) \sim \underbrace{\overbrace{D^{(n-1)}}^{f_0(D^{(n-2)})}|\overbrace{D^{(n-3,2)}}^{f_0(D^{(n-3,1)})}}_{D^{(n-1)}\oplus D^{(n-3,2)}}|\underbrace{D^{(n-3,2)}|\overbrace{D^{(n-1)}}^{f_0(D^{(n-2)})}}_{D^{(n-1)}\oplus D^{(n-3,2)}}.$$

Notice next that as

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-3,1,1)}) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-3,1,1)}) = 1$$

from (1.3.5) and Corollary 1.3.11 of [7], we have that $D^{(n-1)}$ is contained exactly once in the socle of $f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)})$. Further

$$f_0(D^{(n-3,1)}) = D^{(n-3,2)}|D^{(n-3,2)} \subseteq f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)})/D^{(n-1)}.$$

So the socle of $f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)})/D^{(n-1)}$ contains $D^{(n-3,2)}$ only once and so

$$f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)})/D^{(n-1)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n-1)} & & D^{(n-3,2)} \\ & \diagdown & | \\ & & D^{(n-3,2)} \end{array}$$

and then

$$f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)}) = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n-1)} & & D^{(n-3,2)} \\ | & \diagup\diagdown & | \\ D^{(n-1)} & & D^{(n-3,2)}. \end{array}$$

Further, as $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-3,1,1)}) = 7$ from (1.3.5) and Corollary 1.3.11 of [7] and as $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(f_1f_1(D^{(n-3)})) = 4$, it follows from the block decomposition of $M^{(n-3,1,1)} = f_1f_1(D^{(n-3)}) \oplus f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)})$ that

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)})) = 3.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{End}(D^{(n-1,1)} \oplus D^{(n-1,1)} \oplus (D^{(n-3,2)}|D^{(n-3,2)})) = 6, \\ \dim \mathrm{End}((D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-1,1)}) \oplus (D^{(n-3,2)}|D^{(n-3,2)})) = 4 \end{aligned}$$

and from $f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)})$ being self-dual (Lemma 2.3(i)) we obtain that

$$f_0f_1(D^{(n-3)}) = \begin{array}{cc} D^{(n-1)} & D^{(n-3,2)} \\ \vdots & \diagup\diagdown \\ D^{(n-1)} & D^{(n-3,2)} \end{array}$$

and then that

$$M^{(n-3,1,1)} = D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus \begin{array}{cc} D^{(n-1)} & D^{(n-3,2)} \\ \vdots & \diagup\diagdown \\ D^{(n-1)} & D^{(n-3,2)} \end{array}.$$

So to prove the lemma it is enough to prove that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-3,1,1)}/D^{(n-3,2)}) = 1.$$

We have that $M^{(n-3,1,1)} = \langle c_{i,j} : 1 \leq i,j \leq n-1 \text{ and } i \neq j \rangle$ (with S_{n-1} acting on the indexes of $c_{i,j}$). If $d_{i,j} = c_{i,j} + c_{j,i}$ then

$$M^{(n-3,2)} = \langle d_{i,j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1 \rangle \subseteq M^{(n-3,1,1)}.$$

Also $\langle \sum_{i<j} d_{i,j} \rangle \subseteq M^{(n-3,2)}$ and $\langle \sum_{i<j} c_{i,j} \rangle \subseteq M^{(n-3,1,1)}/M^{(n-3,2)}$ are both isomorphic to $D^{(n-1)}$. Let $g : M^{(n-3,2)} \to \langle \sum_{i<j} d_{i,j} \rangle$ be given through

$$g(\sum_{i<j} a_{i,j}d_{i,j}) = \sum_{i<j} a_{i,j} \sum_{i<j} d_{i,j}.$$

Clearly π is stable under S_{n-1}. Also, as $|\{(i,j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1\}| = \binom{n-1}{2}$ is odd as $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$, we have that g is a projection. So

$$\ker(g) = \langle d_{i,j} + d_{k,l} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq k < l \leq n-1 \rangle$$

is a submodule of $M^{(n-3,2)}$ and then, from Lemma 3.13, $\ker(g) \cong D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}$. Notice that, for any choice of coefficients $a_{i,j}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 + (1,2)) \sum_{i<j} (c_{i,j} + a_{i,j}d_{i,j}) \\
&\quad = c_{1,2} + a_{1,2}d_{1,2} + c_{2,1} + a_{1,2}d_{1,2} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{j \geq 3} (c_{1,j} + a_{1,j}d_{1,j} + c_{2,j} + a_{1,j}d_{2,j} + c_{2,j} + a_{2,j}d_{2,j} + c_{1,j} + a_{2,j}d_{1,j}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{3 \leq i < j} (c_{i,j} + a_{i,j}d_{i,j} + c_{i,j} + a_{i,j}d_{i,j}) \\
&\quad = d_{1,2} + \sum_{j \geq 3} (a_{1,j} + a_{2,j})(d_{1,j} + d_{2,j}) \\
&\quad \notin \ker(g),
\end{aligned}$$

that is $(1,2)\sum_{i<j}(c_{i,j}+a_{i,j}d_{i,j}) \notin \sum_{i<j}(c_{i,j}+a_{i,j}d_{i,j})+\ker(g)$. From

$$M^{(n-3,1,1)} \sim (\overbrace{\langle \sum_{i<j} d_{i,j}\rangle}^{D^{(n-1)}} \oplus \overbrace{\langle d_{i,j}+d_{k,l}\rangle}^{\ker(g)})|(\overbrace{\langle \sum_{i<j} c_{i,j}\rangle}^{D^{(n-1)}} \oplus \overbrace{\langle c_{i,j}+c_{k,l}\rangle}^{D^{(n-2,1)}\oplus D^{(n-3,2)}}),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-3,1,1)}) \\ &\leq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-3,1,1)}/D^{(n-3,2)}) \\ &\leq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-3,1,1)}/\ker(g)) \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so the lemma holds. □

Lemma 3.15. *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 8$ then*

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

Proof. Notice that, as n is even,

$$(n-1,2) = \begin{array}{l}\boxed{0\,1\,0\cdots 1\,0}1\\ \boxed{1\,0}\boxed{1}\\ 0\end{array}$$

and so the normal nodes of $(n-1,2)$ are $(n-1,1)$ and $(2,2)$ and they both have residue 0. In particular, from Lemma 2.2,

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \sim D^{(n-1,1)}|A|D^{(n-1,1)}$$

for some module A. Further from Corollary 12.2 and Theorem 24.15 of [6] we have that $S^{(n-1,2)} = D^{(n+1)}|D^{(n-1,2)}$ as $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$. In particular, as $(n-1,2)$ does not have any normal node of residue 1 and from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(n-1,2)}\downarrow_{S_n} &\sim (D^{(n+1)}|D^{(n-1,2)})\downarrow_{S_n} \\ &\sim (D^{(n+1)}\downarrow_{S_n})|(D^{(n-1,2)}\downarrow_{S_n}) \\ &\sim D^{(n)}|e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}). \end{aligned}$$


From the branching rule in characteristic 0 we then have that, for any simple S_n-module D,

$$[e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) : D]+[D^{(n)} : D] = [S^{(n-1,2)}\downarrow_{S_n} : D] = [S^{(n-1,1)} : D]+[S^{(n-2,2)} : D].$$

So, from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, it follows that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ has 2 composition factors isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and 1 composition factor isomorphic to $D^{(n-2,2)}$ (and no other composition factor). In particular $A \cong D^{(n-2,2)}$. From Lemma 2.2(i) it then follows that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$. □

Lemma 3.16. *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 8$ then*

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} =$



Proof. From Lemma 3.15 we have $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$.

From Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 we have that $S^{(n-2,1,1)} = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$ or $S^{(n-2,1,1)} = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$. From Lemma 3.11 we also have that

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq S^{(n-2,1,1)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$$


and so also that $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)} \subseteq S^{(n-2,1,1)}$. In particular $S^{(n-2,1,1)} = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$.

So from Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7,

$$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} \sim \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})}^{S^{(n-2,1,1)}}|\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}}^{S^{(n-2,2)}}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}},$$

that is

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} =$




Again by Lemma 3.11 the module $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ is a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ and so

$$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} \sim \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)}\oplus D^{(n-2,2)})}^{S^{(n-2,1,1)}}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}|\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}_{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}}^{\sim S^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}}$$


and

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}=$



Further from Lemma 3.8 head and socle of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ are isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and so

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}=$




Also as $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ has the form

$$\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{\mathrm{soc}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n})}|(D^{(n)}\oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n)}|\overbrace{\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{\mathrm{soc}(e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}))}|D^{(n-2,2)}|\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{\substack{\mathrm{hd}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n})\\=\mathrm{hd}(e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}))}}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}.$$

In particular no quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ is of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ and


so

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} =$




Again as $\mathrm{hd}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}) \cong D^{(n-1,1)}$

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} =$




As $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ is self-dual it follows that

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} =$




and then

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} =$



Assume that

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}=$ 

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, (D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}))\downarrow_{S_{n-1}})\\ &\quad\geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n)}, D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}))\\ &\quad= 2\end{aligned}$$

(notice that $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ has a unique submodule of form $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$).

As

$$(n-2,1)=\begin{array}{l}\boxed{0\ \cdots\ 1}0\\ \boxed{1}0\\ 0\end{array}\qquad\text{and}\qquad (n-3)=\begin{array}{l}\boxed{0\ \cdots\ 0}1\\ 1\end{array}$$

we have that $(1,n-2)$ and $(2,1)$ are the normal nodes of $(n-2,1)$ and the conormal nodes of $(n-3)$. So $\varepsilon_0(n-2,1)=0$, $\varepsilon_1(n-2,1)=2$, $\varphi_0(n-3)=0$, $\varphi_1(n-3)=2$ and then from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 3.4,


$$D^{(n-2,1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}=e_1(D^{(n-2,1)})\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}\cong f_1(D^{(n-3)})\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}=D^{(n-3)}\uparrow^{S_{n-2}}\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}.$$

It follows that $D^{(n-2,1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}\cong M^{(n-3,1,1)}$.

From Theorem 1.3.10 of [7] we have that

$$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}\downarrow_{S_{n-1}}\cong D^{(n-2,1)}\oplus D^{(n-2,1)}\downarrow^{S_{n-2}}\uparrow_{S_{n-1}}.$$

So, from Lemma 3.14,

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}\downarrow_{S_{n-1}}= D^{(n-2,1)}\oplus D^{(n-2,1)}\oplus D^{(n-2,1)}\oplus$ 

As

$$(n-1,1)=\begin{array}{l}\boxed{0\ \cdots\ 0}1\\ \boxed{1}0\\ 0\end{array}\qquad\text{and}\qquad (n-2,2)=\begin{array}{l}\boxed{0\ 1\ \cdots\ 1}0\\ \boxed{1\ 0}1\\ 0\end{array}$$

we have that $(1, n-1)$ and $(1, n-2)$ are the only normal nodes of $(n-1, 1)$ and $(n-2, 2)$ respectively and so $D^{(n-1,1)} \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} = D^{(n-2,1)}$ and $D^{(n-2,2)} \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} = D^{(n-3,2)}$ from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. It then follows that


$$(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \sim D^{(n-2,1)}|D^{(n-3,2)}$$

and so

$$(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} /(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)})) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} = D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} /M$$

for a certain submodule $M \sim D^{(n-2,1)}|D^{(n-3,2)}$ of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$. In particular

$$(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} /(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)})) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} = D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus$$




contradicting


$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, (D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} /D^{(n-1,1)}) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}})) \geq 2.$$

So

$$D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} =$$




$=$



In particular $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ has a unique submodule of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}$. With similar computations as in the previous case we obtain that

$$(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} /(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)})) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} = D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus$$



so that $D^{(n-1)} \not\subseteq (D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} /(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)})) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ and then $D^{(n)} \not\subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} /(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)})$, from which the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.17. *If $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 8$ then*

$$M^{(n-2,2)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \diagdown\diagup & \vert \\ & & D^{(n-2,2)} \\ & \diagup\diagdown & \vert \\ D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)}. \end{array}$$

Proof. As

$$M^{(n-2,1,1)} = 1 \uparrow_{S_{n-2}}^{S_n} = 1 \uparrow_{S_{n-2}}^{S_{n-2,2}} \uparrow^{S_n} \sim (1_{S_{n-2,2}} | 1_{S_{n-2,2}}) \uparrow^{S_n} \sim M^{(n-2,2)} | M^{(n-2,2)}$$

we have that $M^{(n-2,2)} \subseteq M^{(n-2,1,1)}$ and so the socle of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ is contained in that of $M^{(n-2,1,1)}$. In particular $\mathrm{soc}(M^{(n-2,2)}) \subseteq D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-1,1)}$ from Lemmas 3.6, 3.13 and 3.16. From Example 17.17 of [6] and from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 we have that

$$M^{(n-2,2)} \sim \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)} | D^{(n-2,2)}}^{S^{(n-2,2)}} | \overbrace{D^{(n)} | D^{(n-1,1)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}} | \overbrace{D^{(n)}}^{S^{(n)}}.$$

As $M^{(n-2,2)}$ is self-dual it follows that

$$\mathrm{soc}(M^{(n-2,2)}) \cong \mathrm{hd}(M^{(n-2,2)}) \cong D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

Further

$$M^{(n-2,2)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \diagdown\diagup & \vert \\ & & D^{(n)} \\ & \diagup\diagdown & \vert \\ D^{(n-2,2)} & & D^{(n-1,1)}. \end{array}$$



Being $M^{(n-2,2)}$ self-dual we also have that

$$M^{(n-2,2)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \diagdown\diagup & \vert \\ & & D^{(n)} \\ & \diagup\diagdown & \vert \\ D^{(n-2,2)} & & D^{(n-1,1)}. \end{array}$$

As $D^{(n-2,2)}$ is not contained in the socle or head of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ this gives

$$M^{(n-2,2)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \diagdown\diagup & \vert \\ & & D^{(n)} \\ & \diagup\diagdown & \\ D^{(n-2,2)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \end{array}$$


and then, as $D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{hd}(M^{(n-2,2)}$,

$M^{(n-2,2)} =$  $=$ 

So $M^{(n-2,2)}$ has a unique submodule of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$ (which is isomorphic to $S^{(n-2,2)}$) and then

$$M^{(n-2,2)}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}) = M^{(n-2,2)}/S^{(n-2,2)} \sim S^{(n-1,1)}|S^{(n)}.$$


As $D^{(n-1,1)} \not\subseteq \mathrm{soc}(S^{(n-1,1)})$ from Lemma 3.6, we have that $D^{(n-1,1)}$ is not contained in the socle of $M^{(n-2,2)}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)})$ and so

$M^{(n-2,2)} =$ 


Notice that, for some $d_{i,j} \in M^{(n-2,2)}$,

$$M^{(n-2,2)} = \langle d_{i,j} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n \rangle$$

with S_n acting on the indexes of $d_{i,j}$. It is clear that $A = \langle d_{i,j} + d_{k,l} \rangle$ is a submodule of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ and then that $M^{(n-2,2)}/A \cong D^{(n)}$ (as $M^{(n-2,2)}/A$ is 1-dimensional). Also $B = \langle \sum_{i<j} d_{i,j} \rangle$ is also a submodule of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ and $B \cong D^{(n)}$. As $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$, so that $|\{(i,j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}|$ is even and as we are in characteristic 2, it follows that $B \subseteq A$. As $D^{(n)}$ is contained exactly once in the socle of $M^{(n-2,2)}$, the copies of $D^{(n)}$ appearing in the socle and in the head of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ are distinct. So

$M^{(n-2,2)} =$ 

and then by self-duality

$M^{(n-2,2)} =$ 

From (1.3.5) and Corollary 1.3.11 of [7] we have that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, M^{(n-2,2)}) = 2.$$

As $M^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)} \not\subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}$ and $D^{(n)}$ is contained exactly once in $M^{(n-2,2)}$, we have that $M^{(n-1,1)}/D^{(n)} = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)} \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}$, from which the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.18. *If $n \geq 2$ and M is an S_n module with $M = D^{(n)}|D^{(n)}$, then $M \cong 1\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}$.*

Proof. We can choose a basis of M so that the matrix representation has the form $\pi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_\pi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Further we may assume that $x_{(1,2)} \in \{0, 1\}$. As matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ commute, we have that $x_\rho = x_{(1,2)}$ for any transposition ρ and then that $x_\pi = x_{(1,2)}\delta_{\pi \notin A_n}$ for $\pi \in S_n$. As $M = D^{(n)}|D^{(n)}$ it follows $x_{(1,2)} = 1$. As $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are conjugated in $\mathrm{GL}_2(2)$, the lemma follows. □

The next lemmas show that under, some assumptions on the dimensions of some homomorphism spaces, certain specific modules are contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. These assumptions will be verified for most partitions in Sections 4 and 5.

Lemma 3.19. *Let $n \equiv 2 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 6$. If*

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1 \\ &\quad < \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}),\end{aligned}$$

then $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, where M is a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ of one of the following forms:

- $D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$,
- $D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$,
- $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$.

Proof. As n is even, so that $M^{(n-2,1)} = D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(D^{(n-2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda) \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}})) \\
&\qquad + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda) \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)).
\end{aligned}$$

So the assumption in the text of the lemma is equivalent to

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) > \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1.$$

From Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.9 and 3.12 we have that $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ is uniserial of the form

$$\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}}^{S^{(n-2,1,1)}}|\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}}^{S^{(n-2,2)}}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}}.$$

From Lemma 3.11 it follows that

$$\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{S^{n-1,1}}.$$

If

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad > \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}((D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))
\end{aligned}$$

then $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))$ contains a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ which is not a quotient of $(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)})$. As $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ is uniserial such quotients are given by the modules $(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n})/M$ with M strictly contained in $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$. So, being $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ uniserial, $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ contains a module of one of the forms

$$\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)},$$

$$\overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})/D^{(n-1,1)}}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)},$$

$$\overbrace{D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)})}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

As $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ is a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ the lemma holds.

So assume now that

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))\\ &\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}((D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)).\end{aligned}$$

As $(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}) \sim D^{(n)}|e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ we have from Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))\\ &\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}((D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))\\ &\qquad - \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))\\ &\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}((D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) - 1\\ &\quad > \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)).\end{aligned}$$

So, from

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}},$$

it follows that one of $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)})$, $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})/D^{(n-1,1)}$ or $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ is contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ and so also in this case the lemma holds. □

Lemma 3.20. *Let $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 8$. Then $M^{(n-2,2)}$ has unique submodules isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and $S^{(n-2,2)}$. Further $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)} \cong D^{(n)} \oplus N$ with*

$$N = (D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}$$

and $M^{(n-2,2)}/S^{(n-2,2)} \cong D^{(n)} \oplus S^{(n-1,1)}$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.7 we have that $S^{(n-2,2)} = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$. Also from Example 17.17 of [6] we know that $S^{(n-2,2)} \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}$. From Lemma 3.17

$$M^{(n-2,2)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & D^{(n-2,2)} & \\ & \nearrow \quad \searrow & \\ D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \end{array}$$

and so $D^{(n-1,1)}$ is contained only once in $M^{(n-2,2)}$ and

$$M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \diagdown & | \\ & & D^{(n-2,2)} \\ & \diagup & \\ D^{(n)} & & \end{array} = D^{(n)} \oplus N$$

with $N = (D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n-1,1)}$. Since $D^{(n-2,2)}$ is contained only once in $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)}$, we have that $M^{(n-2,2)}$ has a unique submodule of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$ which is then isomorphic to $S^{(n-2,2)}$. Also

$$M^{(n-2,2)}/S^{(n-2,2)} = (M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n-2,2)} = D^{(n)} \oplus (N/D^{(n-2,2)}).$$

So to prove the lemma it is enough to prove that $N/D^{(n-2,2)} \cong S^{(n-1,1)}$. From Example 17.17 of [6] and Lemma 3.6 we have that

$$M^{(n-2,2)}/S^{(n-2,2)} \sim \overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}} | \overbrace{D^{(n)}}^{S^{(n)}}.$$

Further, from the previous part of the lemma,

$$M^{(n-2,2)}/S^{(n-2,2)} \cong (N/D^{(n-2,2)}) \oplus D^{(n)} = (D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}) \oplus D^{(n)}.$$

Since the head of $(N/D^{(n-2,2)}) \oplus D^{(n)}$ contains a unique copy of $D^{(n)}$ it follows that $N/D^{(n-2,2)} \cong S^{(n-1,1)}$. □

Lemma 3.21. *Let $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with $n \geq 8$. If*

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) > \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1,$$

then $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, where M is one of the following:

- $M \cong D^{(n-2,2)}$,
- $M = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}) \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.17

$$M^{(n-2,2)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \diagdown & | \\ & & D^{(n-2,2)} \\ & \diagup & | \\ D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)}. \end{array}$$

Notice that the only quotients of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ but not of $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)}$ are of the form $M^{(n-2,2)}$ or $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)}$, where

$$M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)} = \begin{array}{ccc} D^{(n)} & & D^{(n-1,1)} \\ & \diagdown & | \\ & & D^{(n-2,2)} \\ & \diagdown & | \\ & & D^{(n-1,1)} \end{array}$$

(no other quotients are possible since $\mathrm{soc}(M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)}) \cong D^{(n-1,1)}$). Further, as

$$M^{(n-2,2)} \sim (D^{(n)} \oplus (D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})))|D^{(n-1,1)},$$

the subgroups of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$ contained in $M^{(n-2,2)}$ and $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)}$ are isomorphic.

From Lemma 3.20

$$M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^{(n)} \oplus (D^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}) \sim D^{(n-2,2)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus S^{(n-1,1)}).$$

Assume now that $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ does not contain any module

$$M = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}) \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}.$$

Then neither $M^{(n-2,2)}$ nor $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)}$ are contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ and so, from Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1 \\
&= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n)} \oplus S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) \\
&> \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular $D^{(n-2,2)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. □

We will now find lower/upper bounds depending on $\varepsilon_0(\lambda)$ and $\varepsilon_1(\lambda)$ for $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}})$ and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))$. These bounds will be later used to prove that the assumptions in Lemmas 3.19 and 3.21 hold, at least when $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda)$ is large enough.

Lemma 3.22. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be 2-regular. Assume that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) \geq 1$ and let ν with $D^\nu = \tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda)$. Assume further that $\varepsilon_j(\nu) \geq 1$. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) \geq \varepsilon_i(\lambda) + \varepsilon_j(\nu) - 1.$$

Proof. For $f \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(e_i(D^\lambda))$ let $\overline{f}$ be the restriction of f to $e_j e_i(D^\lambda)$. By definition of e_i and e_j we have that $e_i(D^\lambda)$ is contained in a unique block of S_{n-1} and so $e_j e_i(D^\lambda)$ is the restriction of $e_i(D^\lambda)\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}$ to a certain block of S_{n-2}. As $f \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \subseteq \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_i(D^\lambda)\downarrow_{S_{n-2}})$, so that f acts blockwise on $e_i(D^\lambda)\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}$, we have that $\overline{f} \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda))$.

From Lemma 2.2(i) we have $\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \cong D^\nu$ and by induction $e_j(D^\nu) \neq 0$, so that $0 \neq e_j(\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda))) \subseteq \mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda))\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}$. If $f \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(e_i(D^\lambda))$ is non-zero, then $\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \not\subseteq \ker(f)$. As $\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda))$ is simple it then follows that $\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \cap \ker(f) = 0$ and so $e_j(\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda))) \cap \ker(f) = 0$. So $e_j(\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda))) \not\subseteq \ker(f)$ and then $\overline{f} \neq 0$.

Let now $g \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j(D^\nu))$. As $\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))$ and $\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda))$ are isomorphic to D^ν from Lemma 2.2(i), we can consider g as

$$g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j(\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda))), e_j(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda)))).$$

In particular g defines an endomorphism $\overline{g} \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda))$ with $\overline{g} \neq 0$ if $g \neq 0$.

Assume now that $\overline{f} = \overline{g} \neq 0$ for some $f \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(e_i(D^\lambda))$ and $g \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j(D^\nu))$. Then $f \neq 0$, in particular $\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(f)$ (as the socle of $e_i(D^\lambda)$ is simple). From

$$e_j(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\overline{f}) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{g}) \subseteq e_j(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda)))$$

it follows that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\overline{f}) = e_j(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))) \subseteq \mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}.$$

As $\overline{f} \neq 0$ and so $f \neq 0$ and as $\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \cong D^\nu$ from Lemma 2.2(i) it follows that

$$0 \neq \mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f)) \subseteq \mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \cong D^\nu$$

and then $\mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f)) \cong D^\nu$. Further $e_j(\mathrm{Im}(f)) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{f})$, as f acts blockwise on $e_i(D^\lambda)\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}$ and by definition of $\overline{f}$. In particular $e_j(\mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f)))$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{f})$. So

$$e_j(D^\nu) \cong e_j(\mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f))) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{f})/A = (e_j(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))))/A \cong (e_j(D^\nu))/B,$$

for certain submodules $A \subseteq e_j(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda)))$ and $B \subseteq e_j(D^\nu)$ with $A \cong B$. It follows that $A, B = 0$ and then

$$0 \neq e_j(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))) = e_j(\mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f))) \subseteq \mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f))\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}.$$

As $\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \cong D^\nu$ is simple we then have (by the previous part) that

$$D^\nu \cong \mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \subseteq \mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f)) \cong D^\nu$$

and then that $\mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{Im}(f)) = \mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))$. In particular f can be seen as an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\lambda)), \mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda))) \cong \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\nu)$.

By the first part of the proof we also have that $f \mapsto \overline{f}$ and $g \mapsto \overline{g}$ are injective. So

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad \geq \dim\langle \{\overline{f} : f \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(e_i(D^\lambda))\} \cup \{\overline{g} : g \in \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j(D^\nu))\}\rangle \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(e_i(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j(D^\nu)) - \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\nu) \\
&\quad = \varepsilon_i(\lambda) + \varepsilon_j(\nu) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□


Lemma 3.23. *Let λ be 2-regular. Assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 1$. Let x_0 and x_1 be the lowest normal nodes of λ of residue 0 and 1 respectively. Also let $\nu_0 = \lambda \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $\nu_1 = \lambda \setminus \{x_1\}$. Then $\tilde{e}_0(D^\lambda) = D^{\nu_0}$ and $\tilde{e}_1(D^\lambda) = D^{\nu_1}$.*


Further if $0 \leq i \leq 1$ and $j = 1 - i$ are such that x_i is on a longer row of λ than x_j then $\varepsilon_i(\nu_j) \geq \varepsilon_i(\lambda)$ and $\varepsilon_j(\nu_i) \geq \varepsilon_j(\lambda) + 2$.

Proof. The first part of the lemma follows from the definition of $\tilde{e}_0(D^\lambda)$ and $\tilde{e}_1(D^\lambda)$.

To see that $\varepsilon_i(\nu_j) \geq \varepsilon_i(\lambda)$ notice that all removable nodes of λ with residue i are also removable nodes of ν_j (as all removable nodes of λ apart the node x_j remain removable nodes when we remove x_j from λ and as x_j by definition has residue j). Also similarly all addable nodes of residue i of ν_j are also addable nodes of λ. It then follows easily from the definition that all normal nodes of residue i of λ are also normal for ν_j. So $\varepsilon_i(\nu_j) \geq \varepsilon_i(\lambda)$.

We will now show that $\varepsilon_j(\nu_i) = \varepsilon_j(\lambda) + 2$. To see this let y and z be the nodes to the right and to the left of x_i (notice that z is a node of ν_i, since the row of λ containing x_i contains at least 2 nodes, as x_i is on a longer row than x_j). Then y and z both have residue j. As x_i is the top normal i-node of λ we have that ν_i is also 2-regular (since D^{ν_i} is defined). As all parts of λ and ν_i are distinct

$\lambda =$ 

, $\nu_i =$ 

and so y is an addable node of λ but not of ν_i and z is a removable node of ν_i but not of λ. All other addable and removable hooks of residue j of λ and

ν_i are equal. So (as y and z both have residue j) the j-signatures of λ and ν_i are equal, apart for the position corresponding to y and z respectively. In this position the j-signature of λ is $-$ and that of ν_i is $+$. From the definition of x_j (it is the bottom normal j-node of λ) we can then (partly) reduce the j-signature of λ and ν_i to

$$\begin{array}{lccccccc} & & & x_j & & & y & \\ \lambda: & - \ldots - & + & + \ldots + & + & - & + \ldots + & \\ & & & x_j & & & z & \\ \nu_i: & - \ldots - & + & + \ldots + & + & + & + \ldots + . \end{array}$$

So the reduced j-signature are given by

$$\begin{array}{lccccccc} \lambda: & - \ldots - & + & + \ldots + & & & + \ldots + & \\ \nu_i: & - \ldots - & + & + \ldots + & + & + & + \ldots + . \end{array}$$

In particular $\varepsilon_j(\nu_i) = \varepsilon_j(\lambda) + 2$. □

Lemma 3.24. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be 2-regular. Assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 1$. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0 e_1(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1 e_0(D^\lambda)) \geq 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 3.22 and 3.23 as if ν_0 and ν_1 are such that $\tilde{e}_0(D^\lambda) = D^{\nu_0}$ and $\tilde{e}_1(D^\lambda) = D^{\nu_1}$ then, for a certain $0 \leq i \leq 1$ and for $j = 1 - i$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0 e_1(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1 e_0(D^\lambda)) \\ &\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_i e_j(D^\lambda)) \\ &\quad \geq \varepsilon_i(\lambda) + \varepsilon_j(\nu_i) - 1 + \varepsilon_j(\lambda) + \varepsilon_i(\nu_j) - 1 \\ &\quad \geq \varepsilon_i(\lambda) + \varepsilon_j(\lambda) + 2 - 1 + \varepsilon_j(\lambda) + \varepsilon_i(\lambda) - 1 \\ &\quad = 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.25. *If $i \neq j$ then $e_i f_j = f_j e_i$.*

Proof. Let M be an S_n-module corresponding to a single block B. Let C be the block with content $(\mathrm{cont}(B) \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\}$. As $i \neq j$ we have from Lemma 2.1 that the components of

$$M \uparrow^{S_{n+1}} \downarrow_{S_n} = e_0 f_0(D^\lambda) \oplus e_1 f_1(D^\lambda) \oplus e_0 f_1(D^\lambda) \oplus e_1 f_0(D^\lambda)$$

and

$$M\downarrow_{S_{n-1}}\uparrow^{S_n} = f_0e_0(D^\lambda)\oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda)\oplus f_1e_0(D^\lambda)\oplus f_0e_1(D^\lambda)$$

corresponding to block C are $e_if_j(M)$ and $f_je_i(M)$ respectively. Since, from Theorem 1.3.10 of [7],

$$M\uparrow^{S_{n+1}}\downarrow_{S_n}\cong M\oplus M\downarrow_{S_{n-1}}\uparrow^{S_n}$$

and $B\neq C$, so that the components of $M\downarrow_{S_{n-1}}\uparrow^{S_n}$ and $M\uparrow^{S_{n+1}}\downarrow_{S_n}$ in block C are isomorphic (as M corresponds to B), it follows that $e_if_j(M)\cong f_je_i(M)$.

The lemma holds as e_if_j and f_je_i respect direct sums. □

Lemma 3.26. *Let $\lambda\vdash n$ be 2-regular. For $i\neq j$ we have that*

$$\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_je_i(D^\lambda),e_ie_j(D^\lambda))\geq\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\varepsilon_j(\lambda).$$

Proof. From Lemmas 2.2(ii) and 2.6

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(f_ke_k(D^\lambda),D^\lambda)&=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda,f_ke_k(D^\lambda))\\&=\dim\mathrm{End}_{S_n}(e_k(D^\lambda))\\&=\varepsilon_k(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemmas 2.6 and 3.25 it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_je_i(D^\lambda),e_ie_j(D^\lambda))\\&\quad=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(f_ie_je_i(D^\lambda),e_j(D^\lambda))\\&\quad=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(e_jf_ie_i(D^\lambda),e_j(D^\lambda))\\&\quad=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda),f_je_j(D^\lambda))\\&\quad\geq\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda),D^\lambda)\cdot\dim\mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda)\cdot\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda,f_je_j(D^\lambda))\\&\quad=\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\varepsilon_j(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.27. *Let $\lambda\vdash n$ be 2-regular. If $\varepsilon_0(\lambda),\varepsilon_1(\lambda)\geq 1$ let $a=2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)+2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)+2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)\varepsilon_1(\lambda)$, else let $a=0$. Then*

$$\dim\mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda\downarrow_{S_{n-2}})\geq 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(\varepsilon_0(\lambda)-1)+2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-1)+a.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$D^\lambda\downarrow_{S_{n-2}} = e_0e_0(D^\lambda)\oplus e_1e_1(D^\lambda)\oplus e_0e_1(D^\lambda)\oplus e_1e_0(D^\lambda).$$

Further $e_0e_0(D^\lambda)$, $e_1e_1(D^\lambda)$ and $(e_0e_1(D^\lambda)\oplus e_1e_0(D^\lambda))$ correspond to 3 distinct blocks, from the definition of e_0 and e_1.

So, from Lemmas 2.2 and 3.26,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus e_0e_1(D^\lambda) \oplus e_1e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus e_1e_1(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0e_0(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1e_1(D^\lambda)) \\
&\qquad + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0e_1(D^\lambda) \oplus e_1e_0(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = 4\binom{\varepsilon_0(\lambda)}{2} + 4\binom{\varepsilon_1(\lambda)}{2} + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0e_1(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1e_0(D^\lambda)) \\
&\qquad + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0e_1(D^\lambda), e_1e_0(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0e_1(D^\lambda), e_1e_0(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = 4\binom{\varepsilon_0(\lambda)}{2} + 4\binom{\varepsilon_1(\lambda)}{2} + 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)\varepsilon_1(\lambda) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0e_1(D^\lambda)) \\
&\qquad + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1e_0(D^\lambda)).
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows from Lemma 3.24. □

Lemma 3.28. *If M, N are G-modules then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(M, \mathrm{End}_F(N)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(N, N \otimes M^*).$$

Proof. We have that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(M, \mathrm{End}_F(N)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(M, N \otimes N^*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(N, N \otimes M^*).$$

□

Lemma 3.29. *Let $n \geq 4$ be even. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min\{\max_{i:\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\geq 1}\{[\mathrm{soc}(f_i(\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda]\}, \max_{i:\varphi_i(\lambda)\geq 1}\{[\mathrm{soc}(e_i(\tilde{f}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda]\}\} \\
&\qquad + \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1 \\
&\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since n is even, $M^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)} \sim D^{(n)}|(S^{(n-1,1)})^*$ from Lemma 3.6 as $M^{(n-1,1)}$ is self-dual. Also from Lemma 3.28,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*).$$

Since $(S^{(n-1,1)})^* \cong M^{(n-1,1)}/D^{(n)}$, there exists $D \subseteq D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$ with $D \cong D^\lambda$ for which $D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^* \cong (D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})/D$. We will now show that for an arbitrary $D \subseteq D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$ with $D \cong D^\lambda$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, (D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})/D) \\
&\quad \leq \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1 + \max_{i:\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\geq 1}\{[\mathrm{soc}(f_i(\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice first that, from Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} &= D^\lambda \otimes 1 \uparrow_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} \\ &\cong D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \uparrow^{S_n} \\ &= f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_0e_1(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

As $f_1e_0(D^\lambda)$ and $f_0e_1(D^\lambda)$ correspond to blocks different from that of D^λ (by definition of e_i and f_i), we have that if $D \subseteq D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$ with $D \cong D^\lambda$, then $D \subseteq f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda)$. Further

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, (D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})/D) \\ &\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, (f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda))/D). \end{aligned}$$

So we will consider $f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda)$ instead of $D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(D^\lambda) &\sim D_{0,1}|\dots|D_{0,h}, \\ e_1(D^\lambda) &\sim D_{1,1}|\dots|D_{1,l}, \end{aligned}$$

with $D_{i,k}$ simple. Then

$$f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda) \sim f_0(D_{0,1})|\dots|f_0(D_{0,h})|f_1(D_{1,1})|\dots|f_1(D_{1,l}).$$

Let $D \subseteq f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda)$ with $D \cong D^\lambda$. We can choose basis B and C of D and $f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda)$ respectively with $B \subseteq C$ and such that the matrix of $f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda)$ corresponding to the basis C is block upper triangular with diagonal blocks corresponding to the filtration

$$f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda) \sim f_0(D_{0,1})|\dots|f_0(D_{0,h})|f_1(D_{1,1})|\dots|f_1(D_{1,l}).$$

As D is simple and from definition of B and C, $D \subseteq f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})$ for some $(\overline{i},\overline{k})$. From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.7 we have that $D^\lambda \subseteq f_i(D_{i,k})$ if and only if $D^\lambda = \mathrm{soc}(f_i(D_{i,k}))$ if and only if $D^\lambda \cong \tilde{f}_i(D_{i,k})$ if and only if $\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda) \cong D_{i,k}$. In particular $D^\lambda \cong \tilde{f}_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})$ and $D = \mathrm{soc}(f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}}))$ is the only submodule of $f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})$ isomorphic to D^λ. So

$$\begin{aligned} &(f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda))/D \\ &\quad \sim f_0(D_{0,1})|\dots|f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}-1})|f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})/D|f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}+1})|\dots|f_1(D_{1,l}) \end{aligned}$$

and then, from Lemma 2.2(ii) and by definition of $D_{i,k}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, (f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda))/D) \\
&\quad = [\mathrm{soc}((f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda))/D) : D^\lambda] \\
&\quad \leq [\mathrm{soc}(f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})/D) : D^\lambda] + \sum_{(i,k)\neq(\overline{i},\overline{k})} [\mathrm{soc}(f_i(D_{i,k})) : D^\lambda] \\
&\quad \leq [\mathrm{soc}(f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda] + |\{(i,k) \neq (\overline{i},\overline{k}) : \tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda) \cong D_{i,k}\}| \\
&\quad \leq [\mathrm{soc}(f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda] + |\{(i,k) : \tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda) \cong D_{i,k}\}| - 1 \\
&\quad \leq [\mathrm{soc}(f_{\overline{i}}(D_{\overline{i},\overline{k}})/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda] + \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

As $e_{\overline{i}}(D^\lambda) \neq 0$ this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, (D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})/D) \\
&\quad \leq \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1 + \max_{i:\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\geq 1} \{[\mathrm{soc}(f_i(\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda]\}
\end{aligned}$$

and then, by arbitrary of D with $D \cong D^\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad \leq \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1 + \max_{i:\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\geq 1} \{[\mathrm{soc}(f_i(\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1.3.10 of [7] we have that

$$D^\lambda \uparrow^{S_{n+1}} \downarrow_{S_n} \cong D^\lambda \oplus D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \uparrow^{S_n} .$$

So there exists $D \subseteq D^\lambda \uparrow^{S_{n+1}} \downarrow_{S_n}$ with $D \cong D^\lambda$ and

$$(D^\lambda \uparrow^{S_{n+1}} \downarrow_{S_n})/D \cong D^\lambda \oplus (D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*).$$

In particular, with a similar proof, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, (D^\lambda \uparrow^{S_{n+1}} \downarrow_{S_n})/D) - \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda) \\
&\quad \leq \varphi_0(\lambda) + \varphi_1(\lambda) - 1 + \max_{i:\varphi_i(\lambda)\geq 1} \{[\mathrm{soc}(e_i(\tilde{f}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda) : D^\lambda]\} - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

As $\varepsilon_0(\lambda)+\varepsilon_1(\lambda)+1 = \varphi_0(\lambda)+\varphi_1(\lambda)$ from Lemma 2.8, the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.30. *Let $n \geq 4$ be even. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1 + \min\{\max_{i:\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\geq 1} \{\varphi_i(\lambda) - \delta_{\varphi_i(\lambda)>1}\}, \max_{i:\varphi_i(\lambda)\geq 1} \{\varepsilon_i(\lambda) - \delta_{\varepsilon_i(\lambda)>1}\}\} \\
&\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular if $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 2$ then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \leq 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 2$.

Proof. If $\varepsilon_0(\lambda)+\varepsilon_1(\lambda)\geq 2$ then $\varepsilon_0(\lambda)+\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-1\geq 1$ and so

$$\varepsilon_0(\lambda)+\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-1\geq \max\{\varepsilon_0(\lambda)-\delta_{\varepsilon_0(\lambda)>1},\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-\delta_{\varepsilon_1(\lambda)>1}\}.$$

It is then enough to prove the first part of the lemma.

To prove the first part of the lemma it is enough, from Lemma 3.29, to prove that if $\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\geq 1$ then $\mathrm{soc}(f_i(\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda)$ contains at most $\varphi_i(\lambda)-\delta_{\varphi_i(\lambda)>1}$ copies of D^λ and similarly that if $\varphi_i(\lambda)\geq 1$ then $\mathrm{soc}(e_i(\tilde{f}_i(D^\lambda))/D^\lambda)$ contains at most $\varepsilon_i(\lambda)-\delta_{\varepsilon_i(\lambda)>1}$ copies of D^λ. We will prove only the first of these two statements, as the other can be proved similarly.

Assume that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda)\geq 1$. Then $\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda)\neq 0$ from definition of $\tilde{e}_i$. Let ν with $D^\nu=\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda)$. Then $\tilde{f}_i(D^\nu)=D^\lambda$ and $\varphi_i(\nu)=\varphi_i(\lambda)+1\geq 1$ from Lemma 2.7. Also, from Lemma 2.3(i),

$$D^\lambda\cong \mathrm{soc}(f_i(D^\nu))=\mathrm{soc}(e_i(\tilde{f}_i(D^\lambda))).$$

So we have to prove that $\mathrm{soc}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda)$ contains at most $\varphi_i(\lambda)-\delta_{\varphi_i(\lambda)>1}$ copies of D^λ. From Lemma 2.3(ii) we have

$$[\mathrm{soc}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda):D^\lambda]\leq[f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda:D^\lambda]=[f_i(D^\nu):D^\lambda]-1=\varphi_i(\nu)-1=\varphi_i(\lambda).$$

So we can assume that $\varphi_i(\lambda)>1$. In this case $f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda\neq 0$ and so

$$0\neq \mathrm{hd}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda)\subseteq \mathrm{hd}(f_i(D^\nu))\cong D^\lambda$$

by Lemma 2.3(i). In particular $\mathrm{hd}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda)\cong D^\lambda$ and

$$f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda\sim M|\overbrace{\quad}^{\mathrm{hd}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda)}_{D^\lambda}$$

for a certain module $M\subseteq f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda$. As

$$[M:D^\lambda]=[f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda:D^\lambda]-1=\varphi_i(\lambda)-1>0$$

we have that $M\neq 0$. So $\mathrm{soc}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda)\subseteq M$, as $\mathrm{hd}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda)$ is simple and $f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda\sim M|\mathrm{hd}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda)$. In particular

$$[\mathrm{soc}(f_i(D^\nu)/D^\lambda):D^\lambda]\leq[M:D^\lambda]=\varphi_i(\lambda)-1.$$

□

We will also need the following lemma which compares the dimensions of $\mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(M\downarrow_{S_{n-2}})$ and of $\mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(M\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}})$ for any S_n-module M.

Lemma 3.31. *If M is an S_n-module then*

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(M\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) \leq 2\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(M\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}).$$

Proof. As

$$S_{n-2} \cong S_{n-2}\times S_{1,1} \subseteq S_{n-2}\times S_2 \cong S_{n-2,2}$$

and $M^{(2)} \sim D^{(2)}|D^{(2)}$ (as $D^{(2)}$ is the only simple module of S_2 in characteristic 2), we have that

$$1\uparrow_{S_{n-2}}^{S_{n-2,2}} \cong 1_{S_{n-2}}\otimes M^{(2)} \sim D^{(n-2)}\otimes(D^{(2)}|D^{(2)}) \sim (D^{(n-2)}\otimes D^{(2)})|(D^{(n-2)}\otimes D^{(2)}).$$

So, from Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(M\downarrow_{S_{n-2}})\\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(D^{(n-2)}, M\downarrow_{S_{n-2}})\\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^{(n-2)}\uparrow^{S_{n-2,2}}, M\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}})\\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2,2}}((D^{(n-2)}\otimes D^{(2)})|(D^{(n-2)}\otimes D^{(2)}), M\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}})\\
&\quad \leq 2\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^{(n-2)}\otimes D^{(2)}, M\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}})\\
&\quad = 2\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(M\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The next lemma consider the structures of $\overline{e}_i^2(D^\lambda)$ when $\varepsilon_i(\lambda)=2$.

Lemma 3.32. *Let λ be a 2-regular partition with $\varepsilon_i(\lambda)=2$. If $D^\nu \cong \tilde{e}_i^2(D^\lambda)$, then $\overline{e}_i^2(D^\lambda) = (D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)})|(D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)})$.*

Proof. As $D^{(2)}$ is the only simple module of S_2 in characteristic 2 and as $\overline{e}_i^2(D^\lambda)\downarrow_{S_{n-2}} = e_i^2(D^\lambda) = D^\nu\oplus D^\nu$ from Lemma 2.2, we have that $\overline{e}_i^2(D^\lambda) \sim (D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)})|(D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)})$.

Considering the block decomposition of $D^\lambda\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}$ and of $(D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)})\uparrow^{S_n}$ and from the definitions of $\overline{e}_i^2(D^\lambda)$ and of $f_i^{(2)}(D^\nu)$ (restrictions of $D^\lambda\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}$ and $(D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)})\uparrow^{S_n}$ to certain blocks), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)}, \overline{e}_i^2(D^\lambda)) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)}, D^\lambda\downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}})\\
&= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}((D^\nu\otimes D^{(2)})\uparrow^{S_n}, D^\lambda)\\
&= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(f_i^{(2)}(D^\nu), D^\lambda),\\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

from which the lemma follows. □

4 Partitions with at least 3 normal nodes

We now consider the structure of $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ for partitions with at least 3 normal nodes. We first show that $D^{(n-1,1)}$ is contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. This will be used when considering tensor products with $D^{(m+1,m-1)}$ for m even.

Lemma 4.1. *If $\lambda \vdash n$ with $n \geq 4$ even is 2-regular and $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 3$ then $D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$.*

Proof. As n is even $M^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}$. From Lemmas 2.9 and 3.1 it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) - 2\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) - 2 \\
&\quad = \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 2 \\
&\quad \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We will now show that the assumptions in the text of Lemmas 3.19 and 3.21 holds when λ has at least 3 normal nodes.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $n \geq 4$ even be 2-regular and assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 3$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1 \\
&\quad < \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemmas 2.9, 3.27 and 3.30 it is enough to prove that

$$3\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + 3\varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1 < 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(\varepsilon_0(\lambda) - 1) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(\varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1) + a$$

and so it is also enough to prove that

$$\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_0(\lambda) - 5) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 5) + a \geq 0$$

with $a = 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda) + 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)\varepsilon_1(\lambda)$ if $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 1$ and with $a = 0$ otherwise.

Since $x(2x - 5) \geq 0$ for $x = 0$ or $x \geq 3$ and since $a \geq 0$, we only need to check the lemma when $1 \leq \varepsilon_i(\lambda) \leq 2$ for some $0 \leq i \leq 1$. Let $j = 1 - i$. If $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 1$ then $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) \geq 2$ and

$$\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)-5)+\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-5)+a = \varepsilon_j(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_j(\lambda)-5)-3+4\varepsilon_j(\lambda)+2 \geq 0.$$

If instead $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ then $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) \geq 1$ and

$$\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)-5)+\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-5)+a = \varepsilon_j(\lambda)(2\varepsilon_j(\lambda)-5)-2+6\varepsilon_j(\lambda)+4 \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $n \geq 4$ even be 2-regular and assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 3$. Then*

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) > \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.31 we have that

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) \leq 2 \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}).$$

So it is enough to prove that

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) > 2 \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 2.$$

Let $a = 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)+2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)+2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)\varepsilon_1(\lambda)$ if $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \geq 1$ or $a = 0$ otherwise. From Lemmas 3.27 and 3.30 it is enough to prove that

$$2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(\varepsilon_0(\lambda) - 1) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(\varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 1) + a > 4\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + 4\varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 2.$$

It is then also enough to prove that

$$2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(\varepsilon_0(\lambda) - 3) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(\varepsilon_1(\lambda) - 3) + a \geq 0.$$

As $2x(x-3) \geq 0$ for $x = 0$ or $x \geq 3$ and as $a \geq 0$, we still only need to prove the lemma if $1 \leq \varepsilon_i(\lambda) \leq 2$ for some $0 \leq i \leq 1$. Let $j = 1 - i$. If $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 1$ then $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) \geq 2$ and

$$2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(\varepsilon_0(\lambda)-3)+2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-3)+a = 2\varepsilon_j(\lambda)(\varepsilon_j(\lambda)-3)-4+4\varepsilon_j(\lambda)+2 \geq 0.$$

If $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ then $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) \geq 1$ and

$$2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)(\varepsilon_0(\lambda)-3)+2\varepsilon_1(\lambda)(\varepsilon_1(\lambda)-3)+a = 2\varepsilon_j(\lambda)(\varepsilon_j(\lambda)-3)-4+6\varepsilon_j(\lambda)+4 \geq 0.$$

□

5 Partitions with 2 normal nodes

In this section we will consider partitions with 2 normal nodes. Proofs or results will be more complicated as in the previous case, mostly having to explicitly consider the structure of such partitions. We first start by studying the structure of partitions with 2 normal nodes.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be 2-regular with $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 2$. For $1 \leq k \leq \lambda'_1$ let a_k be the residue of the last node of the k-th row of λ. Further let $1 < b_1 < \ldots < b_h \leq \lambda'_1$ be the set of indexes k for which $a_k = a_{k-1}$. Then the normal nodes of λ are on rows 1 and b_1, while the conormal nodes of λ are on rows $b_h - 1$, λ'_1 and $\lambda'_1 + 1$. Further $a_{b_k} \neq a_{b_k - 1}$ for $1 < k \leq h$.*

Proof. As λ is 2-regular the nodes at the end of each row of λ are removable. Further λ has an addable node on row k for each $1 \leq k \leq \lambda'_1 + 1$.

Notice first that if the node at the end of row k is normal then $k = 1$ or $k = b_s$ for some s (as otherwise the addable node on row $k-1$ has residue $1 - a_{k-1} = a_k$). From λ having 2 normal nodes it then follows that $h \geq 1$. The node at the end of row 1 is normal (this is always the case). Also, from definition of b_1, we have that the residue of the addable node on row $k \leq \lambda'_1$ is given by $1 - a_k$ For $1 \leq k < b_1 - 1$ we have $1 - a_k = a_{k+1}$ by definition of b_1. As $1 - a_{b_1 - 1} \neq a_{b_1 - 1} = a_{b_1}$, it then follows that the removable node at the end of row b_1 is also normal (if the addable node on row k, with $1 \leq k < b_1$, has residue a_{b_1} then $k < b_1 - 1$ and the addable node on row $k+1$ also has residue a_{b_1}). As λ has 2 normal nodes and, by definition, $b_1 \geq 2$, there are no other normal nodes of λ.

The addable nodes on rows λ'_1 and $\lambda'_1 + 1$ are conormal (they are always conormal). Further for $b_h + 1 \leq k \leq \lambda'_1$ we have, by definition of b_h, that $1 - a_k = a_{k-1}$. Also $a_{b_h} = a_{b_h - 1} \neq 1 - a_{b_h - 1}$, where $1 - a_{b_h - 1}$ is the residue of the addable node on row b_{h-1}. It then follows that the addable node on row $b_h - 1$ is also conormal (notice that $b_h - 1 \geq b_1 - 1 \geq 1$). As λ has 2 normal nodes and so 3 conormal (Lemma 2.8), these are all the conormal nodes of λ.

We will now show that $a_{b_k} \neq a_{b_{k-1}}$ for $1 < k \leq h$. To do this, let $k \geq 2$ minimal such that $a_{b_k} = a_{b_{k-1}}$ (if such an k exists). Again notice that the addable node on row s has residue $1 - a_s$ for $s \leq \lambda'_1$. From the minimality of k it follows that, for $1 \leq s \leq b_k - 2$ with $s \neq b_{k-1} - 1$,

$$1 - a_s = \begin{cases} a_{s+1}, & s \notin \{b_1 - 1, \ldots, b_{k-2} - 1\}, \\ a_{b_{r+1}}, & s = b_r - 1. \end{cases}$$

Also $f : \{1, \ldots, b_k - 2\} \setminus \{b_{k-1} - 1\} \to \{1, \ldots, b_k - 1\}$ given through

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} s+1, & s \notin \{b_1 - 1, \ldots, b_{k-2} - 1\}, \\ b_{r+1}, & s = b_r - 1 \end{cases}$$

is injective and satisfies $f(s) > s$ for each s.

Since $a_{b_k} = a_{b_k - 1} \neq 1 - a_{b_k - 1}$ and $a_{b_k} = a_{b_{k-1}} = a_{b_{k-1}-1} \neq 1 - a_{b_{k-1}-1}$ (so that the addable nodes on rows $b_k - 1$ and $b_{k-1} - 1$ do not have residue a_{b_k}) we have that the node at the end of row b_k is normal. As $k \geq 2$ this would then mean that λ has at least 3 normal nodes, which contradicts the assumptions. So $a_{b_k} \neq a_{b_{k-1}}$ for $2 \leq k \leq h$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be 2-regular with n even. Then we cannot have that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$, $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$, $\varphi_i(\lambda) = 0$ and $\varphi_j(\lambda) = 3$ for some $0 \leq i \leq 1$ and $j = 1 - i$.*

Proof. Assume that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$, $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$, $\varphi_i(\lambda) = 0$ and $\varphi_j(\lambda) = 3$. For $1 \leq k \leq \lambda'_1$ let a_k be the residue of the last node of the k-th row of λ (on each row of λ there are both a removable and an addable node, as λ is 2-regular). Also let $1 < b_1 < \ldots < b_h \leq \lambda'_1$ be the set of indexes k for which $a_k = a_{k-1}$. From Lemma 5.1 we have that the removable nodes on rows 1 and b_1 are normal. As $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$ we have that $a_1, a_{b_1} = i$.

Further, again from Lemma 5.1, the conormal nodes of λ are the addable nodes on rows $b_h - 1$, λ'_1 and $\lambda'_1 + 1$. As $\varphi_i(\lambda) = 0$ it follows that $a_{b_h - 1}, a_{\lambda'_1} = i$ and $\lambda'_1 \equiv 1 + (\lambda'_1 + 1) \equiv j \mod 2$.

We have that $(a_1, \ldots, a_{b_1 - 1}) = (i, j, \ldots, i)$, $(a_{b_h}, \ldots, a_{\lambda'_1}) = (i, j, \ldots, i)$ and $(a_{b_{s-1}}, \ldots, a_{b_s - 1}) = (a_{b_{s-1}}, 1 - a_{b_{s-1}}, \ldots, a_{b_{s-1}}, 1 - a_{b_{s-1}})$ for $2 \leq s \leq h$ from definition of b_s and Lemma 5.1. So

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \equiv \ldots \equiv \lambda_{b_1 - 1}, \\ &\lambda_{b_{s-1}} \equiv \lambda_{b_{s-1}+1} \equiv \ldots \equiv \lambda_{b_s - 1}, && 2 \leq s \leq h, \\ &\lambda_{b_h} \equiv \lambda_{b_h + 1} \equiv \ldots \equiv \lambda_{\lambda'_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Further $b_1 - 1$ and $\lambda'_1 - b_h + 1$ are odd while $b_s - b_{s-1}$ is even for $2 \leq s \leq h$. In particular λ'_1 is even and so $j = 0$ and $i = 1$. From $a_1 = i = 1$ it follows that λ_1 is even, while from λ'_1 even and $a_{\lambda'_1} = i = 1$ it follows that $\lambda_{\lambda'_1}$ is odd. So

$$n \equiv \lambda_1 \cdot (b_1 - 1) + \lambda_{\lambda'_1} \cdot (\lambda'_1 - b_h + 1) + \sum_{s=2}^{h} \lambda_{b_{s-1}} \cdot (b_s - b_{s-1}) \equiv \lambda_1 + \lambda_{\lambda'_1} \equiv 1 \mod 2,$$

contradicting n being even. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $n \geq 4$ even be 2-regular and assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 2$. Then $D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.6 we have that $M^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}$, so that

$$D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^\lambda|(D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)})|D^\lambda.$$

From Lemma 5.2 (and Lemma 2.8) there exists i with $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ and $\varphi_i(\lambda) \neq 0$. Let ν with $\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda) = D^\nu$. Then $\varphi_i(\nu) = \varphi_i(\lambda) + 1 \geq 2$ from Lemma 2.7. Also, from Lemma 2.2(i),

$$f_i(D^\nu) = f_i\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda) \cong f_i(\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\lambda)) \subseteq f_ie_i(D^\lambda).$$

From Lemma 2.3 it then follows that

$$f_i\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda) \sim \underbrace{\overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{soc}(f_i\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda))} | \ldots | \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{hd}(f_i\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda))}}_{f_i\tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda)} | \ldots$$

and so in particular

$$f_ie_i(D^\lambda) \sim \underbrace{\overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\not\subseteq \mathrm{hd}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda))}}_{\subseteq \mathrm{soc}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda))} | \ldots .$$

Similarly there exists $D \cong D^\lambda$ contained in the head of $f_ie_i(D^\lambda)$ which is not contained in the socle of $f_ie_i(D^\lambda)$. So

$$f_ie_i(D^\lambda) \sim \underbrace{\overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\not\subseteq \mathrm{hd}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda))}}_{\subseteq \mathrm{soc}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda))} | \ldots | \underbrace{\overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\subseteq \mathrm{hd}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda))}}_{\not\subseteq \mathrm{soc}(f_ie_i(D^\lambda))} .$$

From Lemma 2.1

$$D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \cong D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \uparrow^{S_n} = f_0e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_1(D^\lambda) \oplus f_0e_1(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1e_0(D^\lambda).$$

Also, from Lemma 2.9,

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \uparrow^{S_n}) = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) = \varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 2.$$

As D^λ is self-dual we then have that the head and socle of $D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$ both contain 2 copies of D^λ. From the previous computations there exists

$D, D' \cong D^\lambda$ with $D \subseteq \mathrm{hd}(D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})$ but $D \not\subseteq \mathrm{soc}(D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})$ and similarly $D' \subseteq \mathrm{soc}(D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})$ but $D' \not\subseteq \mathrm{hd}(D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)})$. So

$$D^\lambda|(D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)})|D^\lambda \sim D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \sim (D^\lambda \oplus D^\lambda)|\ldots|(D^\lambda \oplus D^\lambda)$$

or

$$D^\lambda|(D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)})|D^\lambda \sim D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^\lambda \oplus (D^\lambda|\ldots|D^\lambda).$$

In each of these cases we can easily follow that $D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}$ contains a copy of D^λ in its socle or in its head. Being $D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}$ self-dual it follows that $D^\lambda \subseteq \mathrm{soc}(D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)})$. Then, from Lemma 3.28,

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}) \geq 1,$$

and so the lemma holds. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with n even be 2-regular with $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$ for some $0 \leq i \leq 1$ and for $j = 1 - i$. Then* $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) \geq 2$.

Proof. Notice that $\lambda'_1 \geq 2$, as λ has 2 normal nodes.

First assume that $\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \mod 2$ and let $\nu := (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots)$. Then $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \geq 2$ and so $\nu = \lambda \setminus (1, \lambda_1)$ is 2-regular. As $(1, \lambda_1)$ is a normal node of λ, we have that D^ν is a composition factor of $e_i(D^\lambda) = D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ (Lemma 2.4). As

$$\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c}{} & \mathrm{j}\ \mathrm{i} \\ \cline{3-4} \multicolumn{2}{|c}{} & \mathrm{j} \\ \cline{2-3} \multicolumn{1}{|c}{\iddots} & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c}{} & \mathrm{j} \\ \cline{3-4} \multicolumn{2}{|c}{} & \mathrm{j} \\ \cline{2-3} \multicolumn{1}{|c}{\iddots} & \multicolumn{2}{c}{} \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}\ \mathrm{i},$$



it follows that $\varepsilon_j(\nu) \geq 2$ (the removable nodes on the first 2 rows of ν are normal of residue j), from which follows from Lemma 2.2(ii) that $e_j(D^\nu)$ is non-zero and not simple. In particular

$$e_j e_i(D^\lambda) \sim \ldots|e_j(D^\nu)|\ldots$$

is also non-zero and not simple and so the lemma holds as $e_j e_i(D^\lambda)$ is self-dual by Lemma 2.5.

Assume now that $\lambda_1 \not\equiv \lambda_2 \mod 2$. Then $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ is odd and so $\lambda'_1 \geq 3$. The removable nodes at the end of the first 2 rows are the only normal nodes of λ (from Lemma 5.1 as they have the same residue). In particular if $\rho := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2 - 1, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \ldots)$ then $D^\rho = \tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda)$. As the removable nodes on the first two rows of λ have the same residue the removable node on the third

row must have a different residue, from Lemma 5.1. So, as $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$ and the removable nodes on the first two rows of λ are removable,

$\lambda =$  and $\rho =$  .

So the removable node at the end of the third row of ρ is normal with residue j. In particular $\varepsilon_j(\rho) \geq 1$ and then $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) \geq 2$ from Lemma 3.22. □

We will now prove that the assumptions in the text of Lemma 3.19 and, in most cases, those in the text of Lemma 3.21 hold.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $n \geq 4$ even be 2-regular and assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1 \\ < \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. As $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) \leq 2$, from Lemma 3.30 we have that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \leq 2.$$

So, from Lemma 2.9, it is enough to prove that $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) > 5$.

Let first $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 1$. Then $e_0 e_0(D^\lambda), e_1 e_1(D^\lambda) = 0$ from Lemma 2.2. So from Lemmas 2.1, 3.24 and 3.26 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0 e_1(D^\lambda) \oplus e_1 e_0(D^\lambda)) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0 e_1(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1 e_0(D^\lambda) \\ &\quad + 2 \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0 e_1(D^\lambda), e_1 e_0(D^\lambda)) \\ &\geq 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + 2\varepsilon_1(\lambda) + 2\varepsilon_0(\lambda)\varepsilon_1(\lambda) \\ &= 6.\end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$. Then $e_j(D^\lambda) = 0$ from Lemma 2.2 and so, from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 5.4 and by block decomposition of $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_i e_i(D^\lambda) \oplus e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_i e_i(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) \\ &\geq 4 + 2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $n \geq 4$ even be 2-regular and assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda) + \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 2$. If $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 1$ further assume that we do not have*

$$\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \equiv \ldots \equiv \lambda_{\lambda_1'-1}.$$

Then

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) > \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.31 it is enough to prove that

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) > 2 \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) + 2.$$

So, from Lemma 3.30, it is enough to prove that $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) \geq 4$ or that $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) \geq 7$. Let a_k be the residue of the removable node on the k-th row of λ. From Lemma 5.1 there exists $k \geq 2$ with $a_k = a_{k-1}$. Let r minimal such that $a_r \neq a_{r-1}$. From Lemma 5.1 we have that the removable node on the r-th row of λ is normal.

Assume first that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$. In this case $e_j(D^\lambda) = 0$ from Lemma 2.2 and then $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}} = e_i e_i(D^\lambda) \oplus e_j e_i(D^\lambda)$ from Lemma 2.2. So $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}} = \overline{e}_i^2(D^\lambda) \oplus M$, where $M \downarrow_{S_{n-2}} = e_j e_i(D^\lambda)$. It then follows from Lemma 3.32 and the block decomposition of $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}$ that

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) = 2 + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(M).$$

From Lemma 5.4 we have that $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_j e_i(D^\lambda)) \geq 2$. In particular $e_j e_i(D^\lambda)$ is non-zero and not simple. It then follows that the same holds for M (as $S_{n-2,2} \cong S_{n-2} \times S_2$ and the only simple module of S_2 in characteristic 2 is $D^{(2)}$). Further M is self-dual, as it is the restriction to a block of $S_{n-2,2}$ of a self-dual module. So $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(M) \geq 2$ and then $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) \geq 4$. In particular the lemma holds when $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$.

Assume now that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 1$. Then $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\lambda_1'-1})$ is not a JS-partition. In this case we also have that $a_1 \neq a_r$. From Lemma then 2.2 $e_0 e_0(D^\lambda), e_1 e_1(D^\lambda) = 0$ and so $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}} = e_1 e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus e_0 e_1(D^\lambda)$ from Lemma 2.1. If $e_i(D^\lambda) = D^{\nu_i}$, we then have from Lemmas 3.22 and 3.26 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0 e_1(D^\lambda)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1 e_0(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_0 e_1(D^\lambda), e_1 e_0(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-2}}(e_1 e_0(D^\lambda), e_0 e_1(D^\lambda)) \\
&\geq \varepsilon_1(\nu_0) + \varepsilon_0(\nu_1) + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

So it is enough to prove that $\varepsilon_1(\nu_0) + \varepsilon_0(\nu_1) \geq 5$. Let i, j with $a_1 = i$ and $a_r = j$. Then from Lemma 3.23 we already know that $\varepsilon_j(\nu_i) = 3$. So it is enough to prove that $\varepsilon_i(\nu_j) \geq 2$. By definition of r we have that $a_k \neq a_{k-1}$ for $2 \leq k < r$. So

$$\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \equiv \ldots \equiv \lambda_{r-1}$$

and so by assumption $r + 1 \leq \lambda'_1$. Notice that $a_{r+1} \neq a_r$ from Lemma 5.1 as $a_r = a_{r-1}$ by definition of r. As $\nu_j = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r-1}, \lambda_r - 1, \lambda_{r+1}, \lambda_{r+2}, \ldots)$, if b_k be the residue of the removable node on the k-th row of ν_j then $b_k = a_k$ unless $k = r$. In particular

$$b_1 \neq b_2 \neq \ldots \neq b_r$$

by minimality of r and $b_{r+1} = b_r$ (as $a_{r+1} \neq a_r$). So $1 - b_k = b_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k < r$ (that is the residue of the addable node of ν_j on row k is equal to the residue of the removable node of ν_j on row $k+1$) and $1 - b_r \neq b_r = b_{r+1}$. So the removable node of ν_j on row $r + 1$ is normal. As

$$b_{r+1} = b_r = 1 - a_r = 1 - j = i = a_1 = b_1$$

the removable nodes of ν_j on rows 1 and $r + 1$ are both normal of residue i (the removable node on row 1 is always normal). In particular $\varepsilon_i(\nu_j) \geq 2$. □

For the remaining cases we will prove directly that $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ contains a module of the form $D^{(n-2,2)}$ or $D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ with $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ and $n \geq 8$ even be 2-regular and assume that $\varepsilon_0(\lambda), \varepsilon_1(\lambda) = 1$ and $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\lambda'_1 - 1})$*

$$\lambda_1 \equiv \lambda_2 \equiv \ldots \equiv \lambda_{\lambda'_1 - 1}.$$

Then $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, where is one of the following:

- $M \cong D^{(n-2,2)}$,
- $M \cong D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}) \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}$.

Proof. We can assume that $D^{(n-2,2)} \not\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$.

As $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ is not irreducible (Lemma 2.9) we have from Lemma 2.10 and by assumption that

$$\lambda_1 \equiv \ldots \equiv \lambda_{\lambda'_1 - 1} \not\equiv \lambda_{\lambda'_1} \mod 2.$$

In particular

$$0 \equiv n \equiv \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda'_1 + 1 \mod 2.$$

So $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\lambda'_1-1}$ are odd, $\lambda_{\lambda'_1}$ is even and λ has an odd number of parts. Then

$$\lambda = \begin{array}{|l|l|l


and so from Lemmas 2.9 and 3.28

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}((S^{(n-1,1)})^*, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes S^{(n-1,1)}) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*, D^\lambda) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \uparrow^{S_n}, D^\lambda) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) \\ &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.6, $(S^{(n-1,1)})^* = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}$. As $D^{(n)}$ is contained exactly once in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ it follows that $(S^{(n-1,1)})^* \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$.


We will next show that the modules of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$ contained in $M^{(n-2,2)}$ and $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ are isomorphic. From Lemma 3.16 we have that

$D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} =$



Also from Lemma 3.17

$M^{(n-2,2)} =$



As $\mathrm{soc}(D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}) = D^{(n-1,1)}$, the only quotients of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ which can be contained in $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ are $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)}$. Let $M = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}) \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}$. Then

$$M^{(n-2,2)} \sim (D^{(n)} \oplus M)|D^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^{(n)}|\overbrace{M|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)}}.$$

In particular, up to isomorphism, $M \subseteq M^{(n-1,1)}/D^{(n)}$. Using Lemma 3.13 and (1.3.5) and Corollary 1.3.11 of [7] we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}, D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}) \\ &\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}, M^{(n-2,1,1)}) - \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}, M^{(n-1,1)}) \\ &\quad = 4 - 2 \\ &\quad = 2.\end{aligned}$$

As $D^{(n-1,1)}$ is contained only once in $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ it follows that $M \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n)} \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$. As $M = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$ and there exists a unique submodule of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ with this structure, M can be identified with this submodule of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$.

In the following we will assume that $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} \not\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, as otherwise M would also be contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, so that the lemma would hold. We will prove that $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ also in this case.

From Lemmas 2.9, 3.13 and 3.27,


$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) - \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\lambda\downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) - \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda\downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) \\
&\quad \geq 6 - 2 \\
&\quad = 4.
\end{aligned}$$

S,o as $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ and $D^{(n-2,2)}$ are not contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ and $D^{(n-2,2)} \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/D^{(n-1,1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad \geq 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}) =$



Let A be any quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)})$ containing the copy of $D^{(n)}$ contained in the socle of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)})$. Then A contains an indecomposable module of the form $D^{(n)}|D^{(n)}$. So $1\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n} \subseteq A$ from Lemma 3.18. Assume that $A \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. Then $1\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{End}_{A_n}(D^\lambda\downarrow_{A_n}) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(1\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(1\uparrow_{A_n}^{S_n}) \\
&\quad = 2.
\end{aligned}$$

So in this case $D^{\lambda}\downarrow A_n$ splits. This contradicts λ'_1 being odd and $\lambda_{\lambda'_1}$ even (see Theorem 1.1 of [1]). Then, as

$$\begin{aligned}&(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}))/D^{(n)}\\&\quad=D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)}\oplus D^{(n-2,2)}))\\&\quad=D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/M,\end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/M,\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))\\&\quad=\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/(D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}),\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))\\&\quad\geq 4.\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.5 we have that $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ has a quotient isomorphic to $S^{(n-1,1)}$. As $S^{(n-1,1)}=D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ from Lemma 3.6, this quotient is the unique quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ of the form $D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$. So

$$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}\sim\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)}\oplus D^{(n-2,2)})}^{M}|\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}}^{N}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}},$$

for a certain module $N=D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}$. As $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/M\sim N|S^{(n-1,1)}$, we have from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.30 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(N,\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))\\&\geq\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}/M,\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))-\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)},\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))\\&\geq 4-2\\&=2.\end{aligned}$$

As we have assumed throughout the proof that $D^{(n-2,2)}\not\subseteq\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda})$ this means that $N\oplus N\subseteq\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda})$.

As $S^{(n-1,1)}=D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ from Lemma 3.6, we also have from Lemma 3.30 that

$$\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-1,1)},\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))\leq\dim\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)},\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))\leq 2.$$

As $D^{(n-1,1)}\subseteq N$ this means that $\mathrm{soc}(\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}))$ contains exactly 2 copies of $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and then also that if $D\cong D^{(n-1,1)}\subseteq\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda})$ then there exists $\overline{N}\subseteq\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda})$ with $D\subseteq\overline{N}\cong N$. Let $S\subseteq\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda})$ with $S\cong(S^{(n-1,1)})^*$ (such an S exists from the first part of the proof). Let $\overline{N}$ be as above for $D=\mathrm{soc}(S)\cong D^{(n-1,1)}$. Then

$$D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)}\oplus D^{(n-2,2)})=S+\overline{N}\subseteq\mathrm{End}_F(D^{\lambda}).$$

As M and $S + \overline{N}$ are both of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$ and as $S \cong (S^{(n-1,1)})^*$ and $\overline{N} \cong N$, in order to prove the lemma it is now enough to prove that $(S^{(n-1,1)})^*, N \subseteq M$ (so that then $M \cong S + \overline{N} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. As $M \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ and it is the unique submodule of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$, it is enough to prove that $(S^{(n-1,1)})^*, N \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$. From Lemma 3.5 and the self-duality of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ we have that $(S^{(n-1,1)})^* \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$. So we only still have to prove that $N \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$.

From Lemma 3.15 we have that $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$. Also $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ has a quotient and a submodule isomorphic to $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ from Lemma 3.11. So, from the structure of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ (see above or Lemma 3.16) and by definition of N,

$$D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} \sim \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})}^{M}|D^{(n)}|\underbrace{\overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})}}_{N}|D^{(n-1,1)}$$

($D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ contains a unique quotient of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ which is disjoint, when considering composition factors, from M and N is the unique module of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} /M$ of the form $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)})$. In particular $N \subseteq e_0(D^{(n-1,2)}) \subseteq D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ (Lemma 3.11). □

6 JS-partitions

We will now consider JS-partitions. Notice that from the definition of JS-partitions and from Lemma 2.9, a 2-regular partitions is a JS-partition if and only if it has only 1 normal node.

Also in this case we will need some case analysis. In this case results will be different from those obtained when λ has at least 2 normal nodes and results will depend on whether $\lambda = (m+1, m-1)$ or not.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $n = 2m \geq 4$. Let $\lambda \neq (n)$ be a JS-partition which satisfies $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \geq 1$. Then $\lambda = (m+1, m-1)$ and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) = 1$.*

If m is odd $S^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m+1,m-1)})$, while if m is even $D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m+1,m-1)})$.

Proof. Assume that $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \geq 1$. As $M^{(n-1,1)}$ and

$D^{(n-1,1)}$ are self-dual, from Lemma 3.28,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*),\\ \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}),\\ \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}).\end{aligned}$$

Being λ a JS-partition it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda))\\ &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}})\\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

In particular D^λ is contained exactly once in $D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$. Further by assumption D^λ is also contained in $D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*$. So, by self-duality of $M^{(n-1,1)}$ and by Lemma 3.6,

$$D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^\lambda \otimes (D^{(n)}|(S^{(n-1,1)})^*) \sim D^\lambda|(D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*) \supseteq D^\lambda|D^\lambda.$$

As D^λ is contained only once in $D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$ there then exists $A \subseteq D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)}$ with $A = D^\lambda|D^\lambda$.

As λ is a JS-partition we have from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.10 that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 1$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$ for some i and $j = 1 - i$. So $e_j(D^\lambda) = 0$ from Lemma 2.2 and then from Lemma 2.1

$$D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \cong D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \uparrow^{S_n} = f_i e_i(D^\lambda) \oplus f_j e_i(D^\lambda).$$

From the block decomposition of $f_i e_i(D^\lambda) \oplus f_j e_i(D^\lambda)$ we then have that, up to isomorphism, $A \subseteq f_i e_i(D^\lambda)$.

Let ρ with $D^\rho \cong \tilde{e}_i(D^\lambda) \cong e_i(D^\lambda)$ (from Lemma 2.2 as $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 1$). Then $A \subseteq f_i e_i(D^\lambda) \cong f_i(D^\rho)$. In particular $\varphi_i(\rho) \geq 2$ from Lemmas 2.3(ii) and 2.7, that is $\varphi_i(\lambda) \geq 1$ from Lemma 2.7. Let π and ν with $D^\pi = \tilde{f}_i(D^\lambda)$ and $D^\nu = \tilde{f}_i^{\varphi_i(\lambda)}(D^\lambda)$. From Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 we have

$$e_i(D^\pi) \subseteq f_i(D^\rho) \cong e_i^{(\varepsilon_i(\nu)-1)}(D^\nu).$$

As λ is has only 1 normal node it has 2 conormal nodes from Lemma 2.8. In particular $\varphi_i(\lambda) \leq 2$ and so $\varphi_i(\rho) \leq 3$ from Lemma 2.7. So, from the previous part, ρ has 2 or 3 conormal nodes of content i.

If $\varphi_i(\rho) = 2$ then $\varphi_i(\lambda) = 1$ and so $\pi = \nu$. In this case, from Lemma 2.3,

$$D^\lambda|D^\lambda \subseteq f_i(D^\rho) \cong e_i(D^\pi) \sim \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\pi))} \,|\, \overbrace{\ldots}^{\text{no } D^\lambda} \,|\, \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\pi))}.$$

So in this case $e_i(D^\pi) = D^\lambda|D^\lambda$.

Assume now instead that $\varphi_i(\rho) = 3$. As $\varepsilon_i(\pi) = \varepsilon_i(\lambda) + 1 = 2$ from Lemma 2.7 and from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we have in this case that

$$D^\lambda|D^\lambda \subseteq f_i(\rho) \sim \underbrace{\overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{soc}(e_i(D^\pi))=\mathrm{soc}(f_i(D^\rho))} \mid \overbrace{\dots}^{\text{no } D^\lambda} \mid \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{hd}(e_i(D^\pi))}}_{e_i(D^\pi)} \mid \overbrace{\dots}^{\text{no } D^\lambda} \mid \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{hd}(f_i(D^\rho))} .$$

So also in this case $e_i(D^\pi) = D^\lambda|D^\lambda$.

On the other hand if $e_i(D^\pi) = D^\lambda|D^\lambda$ then

$$D^\lambda|D^\lambda = e_i(D^\pi) \subseteq f_i(D^\rho) \cong f_i e_i(D^\lambda) \subseteq D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^\lambda|(D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*).$$

So in this case $D^\lambda \subseteq D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*$ and $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \geq 1$.

As n is even and λ is a JS-partition we have one of the following:

(i) the parts of λ are even and λ has an even number of parts,

(ii) the parts of λ are even and λ has an odd number of parts,

(iii) the parts of λ are odd and λ has an even number of parts.

We will now in each of the above cases check when $e_i(D^\pi) = D^\lambda|D^\lambda$ holds and study this cases more in details. Notice that the conormal nodes of λ are those corresponding to nodes $(\lambda'_1, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$ and $(\lambda'_1 + 1, 1)$ (these nodes are always conormal and λ has only 2 conormal nodes).

(i) In this case the parts of λ are even and λ has an even number of parts,, so

$$\lambda = \begin{array}{|l|lll|l|} \hline 0 & & \cdots & & 1 \\ \cline{1-4} \vdots & & & \iddots & \\ \cline{1-3} 1 \cdots 0 & 1 & & & \\ \cline{1-2} 0 & & & & \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}$$

So $i = 1$ (it is the residue of $(1, \lambda_1)$, as this is the only normal node). As the only conormal nodes are $(\lambda'_1, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$ and $(\lambda'_1 + 1, 1)$ and only the first of them has residue 1, $\pi = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\lambda'_1 - 1}, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$ in this case.

First assume that $\lambda'_1 \geq 4$ or $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 + 2$. In this case $\overline{\pi} = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\lambda'_1 - 1}, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$ is 2-regular, as π is 2-regular, as $\overline{\pi} = \pi \setminus (1, \lambda_1)$ and as

$$\overline{\pi}_1 = \lambda_1 - 1 > \begin{cases} \lambda_2, & \lambda'_1 \geq 4 \\ \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1, & \lambda'_1 = 2 \text{ and } \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 + 2 \end{cases} = \overline{\pi}_2$$

(since in this case the parts of λ are all even and λ has an even number of parts). As $\overline{\pi}$ is 2-regular and is obtained from π by removing a node of

residue 1, we have that $D^{\overline{\pi}}$ is a composition factor of $e_1(D^\pi)$ from Lemma 2.4. In particular in this case $e_1(D^\pi) \neq D^\lambda | D^\lambda$.

If $h = 2$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + 2$ then $\lambda = (m+1, m-1)$ and $\pi = (m+1, m)$. Also m is odd. In this case $e_1(D^\pi) = D^\lambda | D^\lambda$ as $\deg(D^\pi) = 2\deg(D^\lambda)$ (Theorem 5.1 of [1]) and $[e_1(D^\pi) : D^\lambda] = 2$ from Lemma 2.2(ii). From the previous part we have that $D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} = f_1 e_1(D^\lambda) \oplus f_0 e_1(D^\lambda)$. Since D^λ and $f_0 e_1(D^\lambda)$ correspond to distinct blocks,

$$[D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} : D^\lambda] = [f_1 e_1(D^\lambda) : D^\lambda] = [f_1(D^\rho) : D^\lambda] = \varphi_1(\rho) = \varphi_1(\lambda) + 1 = 2$$

as $(\lambda'_1, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$ is the only conormal node of λ of residue 1 and from Lemmas 2.3(ii) and 2.7. Further, from Lemma 3.6,

$$D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^\lambda | (D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*) \sim D^\lambda | (D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}) | D^\lambda$$

it follows that $[D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*] = 1$ and $[D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}] = 0$. In particular $D^\lambda \not\subseteq D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}$ and so $D^{(n-1,1)} \not\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*) \\ &\leq [D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*] \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma then follows in the case where the parts of λ are even and λ has an even number of parts, as $S^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)} | D^{(n-1,1)}$ from Lemma 3.6.

(ii) We next consider the case where the parts of λ are even and λ has an odd number of parts. In this case λ has at least 3 parts, since $\lambda \neq (n)$ and it has an odd number of parts. Also

$$\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & \cdots & & 1 \\ \cline{1-3} \vdots & & \iddots & \\ \cline{1-2} 0 \cdots 1 & 0 & & \\ \cline{1-2} 1 & & & \end{array}$$

In this case $i = 1$ (i is the residue of the node $(1, \lambda_1)$). As the conormal nodes of λ are $(\lambda'_1, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$ and $(\lambda'_1 + 1, 1)$ and only the second has residue 1, we have that $\pi = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\lambda'_1}, 1)$ in this case. Let $\overline{\pi} = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{\lambda'_1}, 1)$. Then $\overline{\pi} = \pi \setminus (1, \lambda_1)$. As π is 2-regular and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 + 2$ (since λ has at least 3 parts and all parts of λ are even), so that $\overline{\pi}_1 > \overline{\pi}_2$, we also have that $\overline{\pi}$ is 2-regular. As $\overline{\pi} = \pi \setminus (1, \lambda_1)$ and $(1, \lambda_1)$ has residue 1, we have that $D^{\overline{\pi}}$ is a composition factor of $e_1(D^\pi)$ from Lemma 2.4. In particular $e_i(D^\pi) \neq D^\lambda | D^\lambda$.

(iii) At last we consider the case where the parts of λ are odd and λ has an even number of parts. In this case

$$\lambda = \begin{array}{|l|lll|} \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \iddots \\ \cline{1-2} 1\cdots 1 & 0 \\ \cline{1-1} 0 \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array}$$

As in this case the residue of $(1, \lambda_1)$ is 0, we have that $i = 0$. Further both conormal nodes of λ, $(\lambda'_1, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$ and $(\lambda'_1 + 1, 1)$, have residue 0. So $\pi = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\lambda'_1 - 1}, \lambda_{\lambda'_1} + 1)$. First assume that $h \geq 4$ or $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 + 2$. In this case $\overline{\pi} = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{h-1}, \lambda_h + 1)$ is 2-regular (the proof goes as in case (i), with the only difference that here all parts of λ are odd instead of even). So $D^{\overline{\pi}}$ is a composition factor of $e_0(D^\pi)$ from Lemma 2.4 and then $e_0(D^\pi) \neq D^\lambda | D^\lambda$.

If $h = 2$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + 2$ then $\lambda = (m+1, m-1)$ and $\pi = (m+1, m)$. In this case m is even. Here $e_0(D^\pi) = D^\lambda | D^\lambda$, from Theorem 5.1 of [1] and as $[e_0(D^\pi) : D^\lambda] = 2$ (Lemma 2.2(ii)). In this case $\varphi_0(\lambda) = 2$, that is $\varphi_0(\rho) = 3$ and then

$$f_0 e_0(D^\lambda) \cong f_0(\rho) \sim \underbrace{\overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{soc}(e_0(D^\pi))=\mathrm{soc}(f_0(D^\rho))} \; | \; \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{hd}(e_0(D^\pi))}}_{e_0(D^\pi)} | \overbrace{\ldots}^{\text{no } D^\lambda} | \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{hd}(f_0(D^\rho))}$$

from the computations in the first part of the proof and as $e_0(D^\pi) = D^\lambda | D^\lambda$.

As

$$D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^\lambda | (D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*) \sim D^\lambda | (D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}) | D^\lambda$$

and $D^\lambda \otimes M^{(n-1,1)} \cong f_0 e_0(D^\lambda) \oplus f_1 e_0(D^\lambda)$, with D^λ and $f_1 e_0(D^\lambda)$ corresponding to different blocks, it follows that

$$D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)} \sim (D^\lambda | \overbrace{\ldots}^{\text{no } D^\lambda}) \oplus f_1 e_0(D^\lambda),$$

$$D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^* \sim (\underbrace{D^\lambda | \overbrace{\ldots}^{\text{no } D^\lambda} | \overbrace{D^\lambda}^{\mathrm{hd}(M)}}_{M}) \oplus f_1 e_0(D^\lambda).$$

In particular $D^\lambda \subseteq D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}$ and so $D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, as

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes D^{(n-1,1)}).$$

Also

$$1 \leq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(S^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^\lambda, D^\lambda \otimes (S^{(n-1,1)})^*) = 1.$$

The lemma now follows as again $S^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$. □



Lemma 6.2. *Let $\lambda \neq (n)$ be a JS-partition and $\pi = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots)$. Then $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \cong D^\pi$ and π has exactly 2 normal nodes, which both have residue different than the normal node of λ.*

Proof. As λ is a JS-partition it has only 1 normal node from Lemma 2.10. So $(1, \lambda_1)$ is the only normal node of λ (as this node is always normal) and then $D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \cong D^\pi$ from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

We will now prove that π has exactly 2 normal nodes. Notice that π has exactly as many parts as λ, as it is obtain from λ by removing a node on the first row. Let $(a_1, \ldots, a_h)$ be the residues of the nodes at the end of each row of λ (which are all removal, as λ is 2-regular). As λ is a JS-partitions, so that its parts are either all even or either all odd from Lemma 2.10, it follows that $(a_1, \ldots, a_{\lambda'_1}) = (i, j, i, j, \ldots)$ with $j = 1 - i$. By definition the residues of the nodes at the end of each row of π are given by $(b_1, \ldots, b_{\lambda'_1}) = (1 - a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\lambda'_1}) = (j, j, i, j, i, j, \ldots)$. Let $(c_1, \ldots, c_{\lambda'_1+1})$ be the residues of the addable nodes of π (with c_k corresponding to the addable node on row k). Then $c_k = 1 - b_k$ for $k \leq \lambda'_1$. For $3 \leq k \leq \lambda'_1$ we have that

$$b_k = a_k = 1 - a_{k-1} = 1 - b_{k-1} = c_{k-1}$$

and so the removable node on row k of π is not normal if $k \geq 3$. As

$\lambda =$  and $\pi =$  ,

the removable nodes on the first 2 rows of π are normal of residue $j = 1 - i$, where i is the residue of the normal node of λ. As π does not have any further normal node, the lemma holds. □

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that $n = 2m \geq 6$ with m odd and that $\lambda \neq (n), (m+1, m-1)$ is a JS-partition. Then $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, where M is a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ of one of the following forms:*

- $D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$,
- $D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$.

Proof. From Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12

$$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} = \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}}_{e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

From Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we have that $S^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ is a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$ and so also of $e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$. So

$$D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} = \overbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}}|\underbrace{D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|\underbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}_{S^{(n-1,1)}}}_{e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})}}^{e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})}.$$

From Lemmas 3.6 and 6.1 we have that $D^{(n-1,1)}$ is not contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. Also from Lemma 3.13, $M^{(n-2,1)} = D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}$, so that $M^{(n-2,1,1)} = D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n} \oplus M^{(n-1,1)}$. Further

$$M^{(n-2,1,1)} = 1\uparrow^{S_{n-2,2}}_{S_{n-2,1,1}}\uparrow^{S_n} \sim (1_{S_{n-2,2}}|1_{S_{n-2,2}})\uparrow^{S_n} \sim M^{(n-2,2)}|M^{(n-2,2)},$$

so that $M^{(n-2,2)}$ is a quotient of $M^{(n-2,1,1)}$. From Theorem 3.6 of [11] it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}((D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n})/D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) - \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) - \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

As

$$(D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n})/D^{(n-1,1)} \sim (e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n-1,1)})|(e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n-1,1)})$$

with

$$e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|\overbrace{D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}}^{S^{(n-1,1)}},$$

we have from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})/D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) > 0.$$

From Lemma 6.1 we then have that one of


$$e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)},$$
$$(e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n)} = D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$$

is contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. The lemma now follows from $e_0(D^{(n-1,1)})/D^{(n-1,1)}$ being a quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)}\uparrow^{S_n}$. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let $n = 2m \geq 8$ with m even and assume that $\lambda \neq (n), (m+1, m-1)$ is a JS-partition. Then $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ with M a quotient of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ of one of the following forms:*

- $M = D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$,
- $M = (D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n-1,1)}$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.17 we have that

$M^{(n-2,2)} =$ 

Also from Lemma 3.20 we have that $M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)} \cong D^{(n)} \oplus N$, where $N = (D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n-1,1)} \sim D^{(n-2,2)}|S^{(n-1,1)}$. From Theorem 3.6 of [11] we have that

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) > \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}).$$

In particular $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) \geq 2$. As $\lambda \neq (n), (m+1, m-1)$ is a JS-partition and $S^{(n-1,1)} = D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ (Lemma 3.6), we have from Lemma 6.1 that $D^{(n-1,1)} \not\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(N, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}/D^{(n-1,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) - \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^{(n-2,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)) - 1 \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2,2}}(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-2,2}}) - 1 \\
&\quad \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The only non-zero quotients of N (which are also quotients of $M^{(n-2,2)}$) are

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= (D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n-1,1)}, \\
N/D^{(n)} &= D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}, \\
N/D^{(n-2,2)} &= D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)} \cong S^{(n-1,1)}, \\
N/(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}) &= D^{(n-1,1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6.1 it then follows that N or N/D is a submodule of $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. □

We also need some results on the structure of $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}})$ for the case $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$. This will be used to show that no non-trivial irreducible tensor products of the form $D^\lambda \otimes D^{(m+1,m-1)}$ exist when m is even.

Lemma 6.5. *Assume that $n = 2m \geq 4$ with m even and that $\lambda \neq (n)$ is a JS-partition. Let $\pi = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots)$. Then $D^{(n-2,1)}$ is contained exactly once in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$.*

Proof. From Lemmas 2.9 and 6.2 we have that $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) = 2$, as π has 2 normal nodes. From Lemma 3.13 it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) - \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-2}}) - \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\pi) \\
&\quad = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.6. *Assume that $n = 2m \geq 8$ with m even and that $\lambda \neq (n)$ is a JS-partition. Let $\pi = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \ldots)$. Then $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$, where M is a quotient of $M^{(n-3,1,1)}$ of one of the following forms:*

- $M \sim (D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$,
- $M = D^{(n-3,2)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$,
- $M = D^{(n-1)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.10 there exist $0 \leq i \leq 1$ and $j = 1 - i$ with $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 1$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$. From Lemma 6.2 we have that $\varepsilon_i(\pi) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_j(\pi) = 2$. So, from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, $D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3}} = e_j e_j(D^\pi) \oplus e_i e_j(D^\pi)$. From the block decomposition of $D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3}}$ and $D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3,2}}$ it then also follows that $D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3,2}} = \overline{e}_j^2(D^\pi) \oplus N$ with $N \downarrow_{S_{n-3}} = e_i e_j(D^\pi)$.

Using Lemmas 2.2 and 3.32 and considering the block decompositions of the modules we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3}}(D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3}}) &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3}}(e_j e_j(D^\pi)) + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3}}(e_i e_j(D^\pi)) \\
&= 4 + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3}}(N \downarrow_{S_{n-3}}) \\
&\geq \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3,2}}(\overline{e}_j^2(D^\pi)) + 2 + \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3,2}}(N) \\
&= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3,2}}(\overline{e}_j^2(D^\pi) \oplus N) + 2 \\
&= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3,2}}(D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3,2}}) + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$L = \begin{array}{cc} D^{(n-1)} & D^{(n-3,2)} \\ | & \diagdown\!\diagup \\ | & \diagup\!\diagdown \\ D^{(n-1)} & D^{(n-3,2)} \end{array}$$

with $L \subseteq M^{(n-3,1,1)}$ (see Lemma 3.14). Then, from Lemmas 3.13, 3.14 and 6.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(L, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3}}(D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3}}) - 2 \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3,2}}(D^\pi \downarrow_{S_{n-3,2}}) - 2 \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) + 2 \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-3,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) - 2 + 2 \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-2,1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) \\
&\qquad + \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(\mathrm{hd}(L), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)) + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

As the only quotients of L but not of its head are

$$\begin{aligned}
L &\sim (D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}), \\
L/D^{(n-1)} &= D^{(n-3,2)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}), \\
L/D^{(n-3,2)} &= D^{(n-1)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)}),
\end{aligned}$$

the lemma follows. □

Lemma 6.7. *Assume that $n = 2m \geq 8$ with m even. Then there exists $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})$ with $M = D^{(n-1)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$ a quotient of $M^{(n-3,1,1)}$.*

Proof. From Lemmas 2.10 and 6.6 it is enough to show that $D^{(n-3,2)} \not\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})$. From Lemma 3.13 it is then enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})) \\
&\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-3,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})).
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.10 and 6.5 the nodes at the end of the 2 rows of $(m, m-1)$ are normal (they are the only removable nodes of $(m, m-1)$ which has 2 normal nodes). Both of these nodes have residue 1, as m is even. In particular, from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$D^{(m-1,m-2)} \oplus D^{(m-1,m-2)} = e_1^2(D^{(m,m-1)}) \subseteq D^{(m,m-1)} \downarrow_{S_{n-3}}.$$

Comparing degrees it follows from Theorem 5.1 of [1] that $D^{(m,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-3}}= e_1^2(D^{(m,m-1)})$. Then, comparing the block decompositions of $D^{(m,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-3}}$ and of $D^{(m,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-3,2}}$, using Lemma 3.32 we have that

$$D^{(m,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-3,2}}= \overline{e}_1^2(D^{(m,m-1)}) = D^{(m-1,m-2)}|D^{(m-1,m-2)}.$$

In particular, from Lemma 2.9,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-2,1)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)}))\\
&\quad= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-2}}(D^{(m,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-2}})\\
&\quad= \varepsilon_0(m,m-1)+\varepsilon_1(m,m-1)\\
&\quad= 2\\
&\quad= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-3,2}}(D^{(m,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-3,2}})\\
&\quad= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(M^{(n-3,2)}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.8. *Assume that $n=2m\geq 8$ with m even and that $\lambda\neq(n)$ is a JS-partition with $D^\lambda\downarrow_{A_n}$ irreducible. Let $\pi=(\lambda_1-1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\ldots)$. Then $M\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$, where $M\sim (D^{(n-1)}\oplus D^{(n-3,2)})|(D^{(n-1)}\oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$ or $M=D^{(n-3,2)}|(D^{(n-1)}\oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$ is a quotient of $M^{(n-3,1,1)}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.6 it is enough to prove that if $A=D^{(n-1)}|D^{(n-1)}$ then $A\not\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$. From Lemma 3.18 it is then enough to prove that $1\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}_{A_{n-1}}\not\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$. If $1\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}_{A_{n-1}}\subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathrm{End}_{A_{n-1}}(D^\pi\downarrow_{A_{n-1}}) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(1\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}_{A_{n-1}}, \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi))\\
&\geq \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(1\uparrow^{S_{n-1}}_{A_{n-1}})\\
&= 2
\end{aligned}$$

and so $D^\pi\downarrow_{A_{n-1}}$ splits. We will now show that this is impossible when λ and π are as in the text of the theorem.

Assume instead that $D^\pi\downarrow_{A_{n-1}}$ splits. From Theorem 1.1 of [1] we would then have that $\pi_{2h+1}-\pi_{2h+2}\leq 2$ and $\pi_{2h+1}+\pi_{2h+2}\not\equiv 2 \mod 4$ for $h\geq 0$. By definition of π we also have that $\lambda_{2h+1}-\lambda_{2h+2}\leq 2$ and $\lambda_{2h+1}+\lambda_{2h+2}\not\equiv 2 \mod 4$ for $h\geq 1$. As λ is a JS-partition all its parts are even or all its parts are odd by Lemma 2.10. By assumption $\pi_2=\lambda_2>0$ and $\pi_1=\lambda_1-1$. So $\pi_1-\pi_2=1$, that is $\lambda_1-\lambda_2=2$. As $D^\lambda\downarrow_{A_n}$ does not splits we have from Theorem 1.1 of [1] that $\lambda_1+\lambda_2\equiv 2 \mod 4$. So λ_1 is even and then this holds for all parts of λ. If $\lambda_3=0$ then $\lambda=(m+1,m-1)$, contradicting m and λ_1 being both even. If $\lambda_3>0$ then $\pi_4=\pi_3-2$ (as $\pi_3=\lambda_3>\lambda_4=\pi_4$ are both even and $\pi_3-\pi_4\leq 2$) and then $\pi_3+\pi_4=\lambda_3+\lambda_4\equiv 2 \mod 4$, contradicting the assumption that $D^\pi\downarrow_{A_{n-1}}$ splits. □

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We are now ready to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The theorem holds for $n = 2$. So we can assume that $n \geq 6$. We will first prove that if $\lambda, \mu \neq (n), (m+1, m-1)$ then $D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu$ is not irreducible. To do this let $\lambda, \mu \neq (n), (m+1, m-1)$. Then, from Lemmas 3.19, 4.2, 5.5 and 6.3, there exist $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ and $N \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\mu)$, with M and N quotients of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ of one of the following forms:

- $D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)} = A$,
- $D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)} = B$,
- $D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)} = C$.

From Lemma 3.12 we have that

$$D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n} = D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)}|D^{(n-1,1)}.$$

From Lemma 3.11 it then follows that $C \cong e_0(D^{(n-1,2)})$ (it is the only quotient of $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ with socle $D^{(n-1,1)}$ and 2 composition factors isomorphic to $D^{(n-1,1)}$). From Lemma 2.2(i) we then have that C is self-dual. Also A and B are quotients of C (from their composition sequences as $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ is uniserial). Also from the self-duality of C it follows that $D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n)} \subseteq B$ is self-dual and this module contains $D^{(n)}|D^{(n-2,2)} = A^*/D^{(n-1,1)}$.

If $D^{(n)} \subseteq M$ let $\overline{M} := M$, else let $\overline{M} := M \oplus D^{(n)}$. Define $\overline{N}$ similarly. Since $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$, $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\mu)$ and $D^{(n-2,1)} \uparrow^{S_n}$ are self-dual, considering the possible combinations of M and N we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\mu)) \\ &\geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(\overline{M}^*, \overline{N}) \\ &\geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu$ is not irreducible.

We can now assume that $\lambda = (m+1, m-1)$. From Theorem 3.1(b) of [5] we know that there are at most $(m+1)/2$ partitions λ for which $D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu$ is irreducible. One of these is (n). As from Corollary 3.21 of [4] we have that $D^{(m+1,m-1)} \otimes D^{(n-2j+1,2j+1)} \cong D^{(m-j,m-j-1,j+1,j)}$ for $0 \leq j < (m-1)/2$ the theorem follows. □

We will now prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The theorem is easily checked for $n = 4$. So assume that $n \geq 8$. From [2] we can assume that λ is a JS-partition. Assume first that $\lambda, \nu \neq (n), (m+1, m-1)$. Then, from Lemma 6.4, $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ with M a quotient of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ where $M = D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ or where $M = (D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n-1,1)}$. By Lemmas 3.21 4.3, 5.6, 5.7 and 6.4 we also have that $N \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\mu)$, where $N = D^{(n-2,2)}$ or where $N = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}) \subseteq M^{(n-2,2)}$.

First assume that $N = D^{(n-2,2)}$. Then, from self duality of $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\mu)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(\mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda), \mathrm{End}_F(D^\mu)) \\ &\geq \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}) \\ &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

In particular $D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu$ is not irreducible.

Assume now that $N = D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})$. From the structure of $M^{(n-2,2)}$ (see Lemma 3.17) and from its self-duality we have that $M^* \subseteq N$. If $M = D^{(n-2,2)}|D^{(n-1,1)}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu) \\ &\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n)} \oplus M^*, D^{(n)} \oplus N) \\ &\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n)} \oplus (D^{(n-1,1)}|D^{(n-2,2)}), D^{(n)} \oplus (D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}))) \\ &\quad = 2.\end{aligned}$$

If $M = (D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)})|D^{(n-1,1)}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu) \\ &\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(M^*, D^{(n)} \oplus N) \\ &\quad = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_n}(D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}), D^{(n)} \oplus (D^{(n-1,1)}|(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-2,2)}))) \\ &\quad = 2.\end{aligned}$$

In either case $D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu$ is not irreducible.

We can now assume that $\lambda = (m+1, m-1)$. So from Lemma 6.1 we have that $D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\lambda)$. It then follows that μ is a JS-partition, as else $D^{(n-1,1)} \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\mu)$ from Lemmas 4.1 and 5.3, so that

$$\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu) \geq \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_n}(D^{(n)} \oplus D^{(n-1,1)}) = 2.$$

For α 2-regular let φ^α be the Brauer character of D^α. For any partition β let ξ^β be the character of M^β. For γ consisting only of odd parts and χ a

(Brauer) character of S_n let χ_γ be the value that χ takes on the conjugacy class labeled by γ.

Let γ consists only of odd parts. From Theorem 5.1 of [1] and Theorem VII of [12] we have that $\varphi_\gamma^{(m+1,m-1)} = \pm 2^{\lfloor(\gamma_1'-1)/2\rfloor}$. Assume now that $\varphi_\gamma^{(m+1,m-1)}$ is odd. Then $\lambda_1' \leq 2$ and so, since $n = 2m$ with m even, it follows that $\gamma = (n-s, s)$ with $1 \leq s < m$ odd. Also notice that $\xi_{(n-s,s)}^{(n-k,k)} = \delta_{s,k}$ for $1 \leq s, k < m$. Assume that $D^\lambda \otimes D^\mu \cong D^\nu$ and let $1 \leq s_1 < \ldots < s_h < m$ odd with $\varphi_{(n-s,s)}^\mu$ odd if and only if $s = s_l$ for some l. Define $\varphi := \varphi^\mu + \xi^{(n-s_1,s_1)} + \ldots + \xi^{(n-s_h,s_h)}$. Then, for each γ consisting only of odd parts, $\varphi_\gamma^{(m+1,m-1)}\varphi_\gamma$ is even. In particular $\varphi^{(m+1,m-1)}\varphi = 2\chi$ for some Brauer character χ. Since $\varphi^{(m+1,m-1)}\varphi^\mu = \varphi^\nu$ and the definition of φ, we then have that

$$\varphi^{(m+1,m-1)}\xi^{(n-s_1,s_1)} + \ldots + \varphi^{(m+1,m-1)}\xi^{(n-s_h,s_h)} = \varphi^\nu + 2\overline{\chi}.$$

In particular

$$[S^{(m+1,m-1)} \otimes M^{(n-s_l,s_l)} : D^\nu] \geq [D^{(m+1,m-1)} \otimes M^{(n-s_l,s_l)} : D^\nu] \geq 1$$



for some $1 \leq l \leq h$. So ν has at most 4 parts, since D^ν is a component of $S^{(m+1,m-1)} \otimes M^{(n-s_l,s_l)}$.

Notice that $D^{(m+1,m-1)}$ splits when reduced to A_n from Theorem 1.1 of [1]. As $D^{(m+1,m-1)} \otimes D^\mu = D^\nu$ we then have that D^ν also splits when reduced to A_n, while D^μ does not. Again from Theorem 1.1 of [1] and as ν at most 4 parts, $\nu = (a, a-b, c, c-d)$ with $1 \leq b, d \leq 2$ and $2a-b, 2c-d \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$ or with $c = d = 0$, $1 \leq b \leq 2$ and $2a - b \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$.

Assume first that $c, d = 0$, $1 \leq b \leq 2$ and $2a - b \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$. Then $b = 2$ as n is even and so $\nu = (m+1, m-1) = \lambda$. As $\mu \neq (n)$ so that D^μ has degree at least 2 (as we are in characteristic 2), this gives a contradiction.

So $1 \leq b, d \leq 2$ and $2a-b, 2c-d \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$. As $|\nu| = 2a + 2c - b - d$ is even it follows $b = d$. If $b = 2$ then from $2a-2, 2c-2 \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$ it follows that a and c are odd. This would mean that ν is a JS-partition from Lemma 2.10 and so that $D^{(m+1,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \otimes D^\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ is irreducible, which is impossible from [2] ($D^{(m+1,m-1)}\downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ and $D^\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$ are both irreducible of degree at least 2). So $b = d = 1$ and then $\nu = (m-s, m-s-1, s+1, s)$ for some $0 \leq s < (m-2)/2$.

As

$\nu =$  or $\nu =$ 

we have that $\varepsilon_i(\lambda) = 2$ and $\varepsilon_j(\lambda) = 0$, so that $\dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}) = 2$ from Lemma 2.9. Let $\pi = (\mu_1 - 1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \ldots)$. If $D^{(m+1,m-1)} \otimes D^\mu = D^\nu$ then

$$D^{(m,m-1)} \otimes D^\pi \cong D^{(m+1,m-1)} \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \otimes D^\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-1}} \cong D^\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}$$

from Lemma 6.2 and so

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(\mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi), \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})) &= \dim \mathrm{End}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(m,m-1)} \otimes D^\pi) \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that this is impossible when μ is a JS-partition with $D^\mu \downarrow_{A_n}$ irreducible.

From Lemma 6.5 we have that $D^{(n-2,1)}$ is contained in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})$ and in $\mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$. From Lemmas 6.7 and 6.8 we have that there are modules $M \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})$ and $N \subseteq \mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi)$ which are quotients of $M^{(n-3,1,1)}$ such that $M = D^{(n-1)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$ and $N \sim (D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$ or $N = D^{(n-3,2)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$.

Assume first that $N \sim (D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$. Then, from Lemma 3.14 and the self-duality of $M^{(n-3,1,1)}$, we have that M is a quotient of $N \cong N^*$. So, from the structure of M and N,

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(\mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi), \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})) \\ &\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(N \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}, M \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}) \\ &\quad = 3. \end{aligned}$$

If $N = D^{(n-3,2)}|(D^{(n-1)} \oplus D^{(n-3,2)})$ then, again by Lemma 3.14 and the self-duality of $M^{(n-3,1,1)}$, we have that there exists $L = D^{(n-1)}|D^{(n-3,2)}$ with $L \subseteq M$ and L a quotient of N^*. Then, from the structure of L,

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(\mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi), \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})) \\ &\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)} \oplus N^* \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}, M \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}) \\ &\quad \geq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(D^{(n-1)} \oplus L \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}, L \oplus D^{(n-2,1)}) \\ &\quad = 3. \end{aligned}$$

In either case $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{n-1}}(\mathrm{End}_F(D^\pi), \mathrm{End}_F(D^{(m,m-1)})) \geq 3$, leading to a contradiction. □

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Christine Bessenrodt for comments on the paper.

References

[1] D. Benson. Spin modules for symmetric groups. *J. London Math. Soc.* 38 (1988), 250-262.

[2] C. Bessenrodt, A. Kleshchev. On tensor products of modular representations of symmetric groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 32 (2000), 292-296.

[3] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev. On translation functors for general linear and symmetric groups. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 80 (2000), 75-10

[4] J. Graham, G. James. On a conjecture of Gow and Kleshchev concerning tensor products. *J. Algebra* 227 (2000), 767-782.

[5] R. Gow, A. Kleshchev. Connections between the representations of the symmetric group and the symplectic group in characteristic 2. *J. Algebra* 221 (1999), 60-89.

[6] G. D. James. *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1978. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 682.

[7] G. James, A. Kerber. *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1981.

[8] A. Kleshchev. Branching Rules for Modular Representations of Symmetric Groups, IV. *J. Algebra* 201 (1998), 547-572.

[9] A. Kleshchev. *Linear and projective representations of symmetric groups.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 163.

[10] A. Kleshchev. On restrictions of Irreducible Modular Representations of Semisimple Algebraic Groups and Symmetric Groups to Some Natural Subgroups, I. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 69 (1994), 515-540.

[11] A. Kleshchev, J. Sheth. Representations of the symmetric group are reducible over singly transitive subgroups. *Math. Z.* 235 (2000), 99-109.

[12] J. Schur. Über die Darstellung der symmetrischen und alternierenden Gruppe durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 139 (1911), 155-250.

[13] I. Zisser. Irreducible products of characters in A_n. *Israel J. Math.* 84 (1993), 147-151.